

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT NO. FHWA-OK-11-06(2)	2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT=S CATALOG NO.	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Development of a Flexible Pavement Database for Local Calibration of the MEPDG Part 2 Evaluation of ODOT SMA Mixtures		5. REPORT DATE March 2011	
		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S) Stephen A, Cross, Robel Gibbe and Nirajan Aryal		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Oklahoma State University Civil & Environmental Engineering 207 Engineering South Stillwater, OK 74078		10. WORK UNIT NO.	
		11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. ODOT SPR Item Number 2209	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS Oklahoma Department of Transportation Planning and Research Division 200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 3A7 Oklahoma City, OK 73105		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final Report 10/1/2008 – 3/31/2011	
		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
<p>16. ABSTRACT</p> <p>There has been some reluctance on the part of some in Oklahoma to use SMA mixtures. There are several factors that could be involved in the slow acceptance of SMA mixtures in Oklahoma. These factors are 1) the extra expense associated with the higher binder contents and better quality aggregates required, 2) a lack of data indicating that SMA mixtures perform substantially better than conventional Superpave mixtures and 3) a lack guidance on thickness design benefits, including appropriate input parameters for the MEPDG. The objectives this study are to evaluate the performance of SMA mixes compared to S-4 mixes and to determine the performance benefits. Testing included Hamburg Rut Tests and dynamic modulus testing.</p> <p>Hamburg rut testing indicated that SMA resists permanent deformation better than ODOT S-4 mixes made with the same source and grade of asphalt cement. Both measured and predicted dynamic modulus of SMA was less than ODOT S-4 mixes. The Asphalt Institutes fatigue equation indicated longer fatigue life for SMA compared to S-4 mixes. MEPDG prediction models contradict these findings.</p>			
17. KEY WORDS SMA, Hamburg rut tests, dynamic modulus, fatigue life		18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT No restrictions. This publication is available from the Planning & Research Div., Oklahoma DOT.	
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT) Unclassified	20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES 89	22. PRICE N/A