TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

.

1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVER	RIMENT ACCESSIC	ON NO. 3. RECIP	ENT'S CATALOG NO.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. REPOR	RT DATE
FLEXURAL STRENGTH QUALITY CONTROL FOR CONCRETE PAVEMENTS:		June		
			RMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
Final Report				
7. AUTHOR(S)			8. PERFC	RMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO
Steven M. Trost, Ph.D., P.E.			SS-0310302	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO	N NAME AND	DADDRESS	10. WORK	UNIT NO.
Strategic Solutions				
1414 S. Sangre Rd., Ste. 106-N Stillwater, OK 74074				RACT OR GRANT NO.
			34590	000764
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS				OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED
Oklahoma Department of Transportation		n		Report 7/03 - 6/04
200 N. E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105			14. SPON	SORING AGENCY CODE
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
16. ABSTRACT	<u>,,</u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
test (ASTM C78) are h rely upon cylinder spec concrete pavements. U	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunatel	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing
the concrete as a primatest (ASTM C78) are h rely upon cylinder spect concrete pavements. U do not parallel those of concrete pavements of The goal of this research w the field by demonstrat the focus of the researc replace field-cast beam flexure test.	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunately the flexure questionable vas to overce ing effective h was to exis specimens	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech test, leaving agence e quality. ome the difficultie e alternative methe amine the suitabili while still measur	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated cies potentially ex es associated with ods for verifying o ity of alternative te ing the same or sin	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing posed to the risk of accepting determining flexural strengths in concrete flexural strengths. As such est methods that could potentially nilar strength properties as the
 the concrete as a primatest (ASTM C78) are hrely upon cylinder spection concrete pavements. Undo not parallel those of concrete pavements of The goal of this research with a field by demonstrates the focus of the research replace field-cast beam flexure test. Three alternative test methods in methods to replace field test showing the most primative of the field specifiel test showing the most primative test (ASTM C78) and the field test showing the most primative test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of the test (ASTM C78) are here and the field test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and the focus of test (ASTM C78) are here and test are here are here and test are here are	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunately the flexure questionable vas to overce ing effective h was to exis specimens ods were even vestigated, d-cast beam promise due characteristi STM C496	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech test, leaving agene e quality. ome the difficultie e alternative methe amine the suitabili while still measur valuated – pullout the pullout and di as as means for fle: to its lower coeffi ics with the flexur) is also recognize	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated cies potentially ex es associated with ods for verifying c ity of alternative to ing the same or sin (ASTM C900), di rect tension tests b xural strength qua- icient of variation re test. Though no ed as an alternative	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing posed to the risk of accepting determining flexural strengths in concrete flexural strengths. As such est methods that could potentially
 the concrete as a primatest (ASTM C78) are herely upon cylinder spection concrete pavements. Updo not parallel those of concrete pavements of The goal of this research were the field by demonstrates the focus of the research replace field-cast beam flexure test. Three alternative test methods to replace field test showing the most parallel those of the splitting tension test (A control. A protocol for is also provided. 	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunately the flexure questionable vas to overce ing effective h was to exis specimens ods were even vestigated, d-cast beam promise due characteristi STM C496	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech test, leaving agene e quality. ome the difficultie e alternative meth- amine the suitabili while still measur valuated – pullout the pullout and di is as means for flex to its lower coeffi- ics with the flexur) is also recognize y using concrete n	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated cies potentially ex es associated with ods for verifying of ity of alternative te ing the same or sin (ASTM C900), di rect tension tests b xural strength qua- icient of variation re test. Though no ed as an alternative naturity methods f	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing posed to the risk of accepting determining flexural strengths in concrete flexural strengths. As such est methods that could potentially nilar strength properties as the rect tension, and modified pullout. both showed promise as potential ity control, with the direct tension (7.8% versus 12.3%) and close t included as part of the study, the means for flexural strength quality or flexural strength quality control
the concrete as a primatest (ASTM C78) are herely upon cylinder spection concrete pavements. Undo not parallel those of concrete pavements of the goal of this research with the field by demonstrate the focus of the research replace field-cast beam flexure test. Three alternative test methen of the three methods in methods to replace field test showing the most period similarity of its failure splitting tension test (Acontrol. A protocol for is also provided.	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunatell the flexure questionable vas to overce ing effective h was to exis specimens ods were ev uvestigated, d-cast beam promise due characterist STM C496	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech test, leaving agene e quality. ome the difficultie e alternative methe amine the suitabili while still measur valuated – pullout the pullout and di is as means for flex to its lower coeffi ics with the flexur) is also recognize y using concrete n	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated cies potentially ex es associated with ods for verifying of ity of alternative to ing the same or sin (ASTM C900), di rect tension tests b xural strength qua- icient of variation re test. Though no ed as an alternative naturity methods f	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing posed to the risk of accepting determining flexural strengths in concrete flexural strengths. As such est methods that could potentially nilar strength properties as the rect tension, and modified pullout. both showed promise as potential ity control, with the direct tension (7.8% versus 12.3%) and close t included as part of the study, the means for flexural strength quality or flexural strength quality control
 the concrete as a primatest (ASTM C78) are herely upon cylinder spection concrete pavements. Undo not parallel those of concrete pavements of The goal of this research with field by demonstrate the focus of the research replace field-cast beam flexure test. Three alternative test methe Of the three methods in methods to replace field test showing the most period similarity of its failure splitting tension test (Acontrol. A protocol for is also provided. 17. KEY WORDS Flexural Strength; Tensile S Tension; Pullout; Concrete	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunately the flexure questionable vas to overce ing effective h was to exis specimens ods were evolvestigated, d-cast beam promise due characteristi STM C496 beneficially	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech test, leaving agene e quality. ome the difficultie e alternative methe amine the suitabili while still measur valuated – pullout the pullout and di is as means for flex to its lower coefficies ics with the flexur) is also recognize y using concrete n	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated cies potentially ex es associated with ods for verifying of ity of alternative te ing the same or sin (ASTM C900), di rect tension tests b xural strength qua- icient of variation re test. Though no ed as an alternative naturity methods f	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing posed to the risk of accepting determining flexural strengths in concrete flexural strengths. As such est methods that could potentially nilar strength properties as the rect tension, and modified pullout. both showed promise as potential ity control, with the direct tension (7.8% versus 12.3%) and close t included as part of the study, the means for flexural strength quality or flexural strength quality control
 the concrete as a primatest (ASTM C78) are herely upon cylinder spection concrete pavements. Undo not parallel those of concrete pavements of the goal of this research with field by demonstrates the focus of the research replace field-cast beam flexure test. Three alternative test methe Of the three methods in methods to replace field test showing the most period similarity of its failure splitting tension test (Acontrol. A protocol for is also provided. 17. KEY WORDS Flexural Strength; Tensile S 	ry design in eavy and ea imens tester nfortunately the flexure questionable vas to overce ing effective h was to exa specimens ods were even vestigated, d-cast beam romise due characteristi STM C496 beneficially	put. However, the sily damaged prio d in compression a y, the failure mech test, leaving agene e quality. ome the difficultie e alternative methe amine the suitabili while still measur valuated – pullout the pullout and di as as means for fle: to its lower coeffi ics with the flexur) is also recognize y using concrete n	e beam specimens or to testing. As su as a means to mon nanisms associated cies potentially ex es associated with ods for verifying of ity of alternative to ing the same or sin (ASTM C900), di rect tension tests b xural strength qua- icient of variation re test. Though no ed as an alternative naturity methods f	required for the flexural strength ch, many state highway agencies itor and control the quality of with compressive-strength testing posed to the risk of accepting determining flexural strengths in concrete flexural strengths. As such est methods that could potentially nilar strength properties as the rect tension, and modified pullout. toth showed promise as potential lity control, with the direct tension (7.8% versus 12.3%) and close t included as part of the study, the means for flexural strength quality or flexural strength quality control