# I-35 PAVEMENT INSTRUMENTATION PHASE II FINAL REPORT - FHWA-OK-09-04

ODOT SPR ITEM NUMBER 2200

By

# Dr. David H. Timm

Gottlieb Associate Professor

National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) Auburn University Auburn, AL.



December 2009

<b>TECHNICAL REPORT DO</b>	CUMENTATION PAGE
----------------------------	------------------

1. REPORT NO. FHWA-OK-09-04	2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT=S CATALOG NO	).			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5. REPORT DATE December, 2009					
	6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE					
7. AUTHOR(S) Dr. David H. Timm		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATI	ON REPORT			
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND AD National Center for Asphalt Tec		10. WORK UNIT NO.				
277 Technology Parkway		11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO				
Auburn, AL 36830		ODOT SPR Item Number 2200				
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PER	RIOD COVERED			
Oklahoma Department of Tra Planning and Research Divis	-	•	Final Report October 2008 – September 2009			
200 N.E. 21st Street, Room 3/		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CO				
Oklahoma City, OK 73105						
2.						
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
This report documents work (NCAT) in support of the Univ pavement test site in Purcell, developing written document site visit provided future dire resistor array for the purpose Finally, laboratory testing wa pavement analyzer (APA) and provided to the OU researche	versity of Oklahoma's (C Oklahoma. Technical of tation, in addition to a si ction for the project and of fine tuning strain ga s conducted at the NCA d beam fatigue tests wer ers.	PU) research efforts of consultations were pro- te visit by NCAT perso roadside installation uges embedded in the T lab. Specifically, as e conducted and data	n a live ovided in onnel. The of a variable e pavement. sphalt			
17. KEY WORDS Pavement Instrumentation	18. DISTRIBUTION STATE No restrictions	IMENT . This publication is a	available from			
		Research Div., Oklah				
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT)	20. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS PAGE)	21. NO. OF PAGES <b>12</b>	22. PRICE N/A			
	Unclassified					

	SI (	METR	IC) C	ON	/ER	SION	FACT	ORS	
Approximate Conversions to SI Units					Approximate Conversions from SI Units				
Symbol When you know Multiply by To Find Symbol			Symbol	Symbol When you Multiply by T			To Find Symbol		
		LENGTH					LENGTH		
in	inches	25.40	millimeters	mm	mm	millimeters	0.0394	inches	in
ft	feet	0.3048	meters	m	m	meters	3.281	feet	ft
yd	yards	0.9144	meters	m	m	meters	1.094	yards	yd
mi	miles	1.609	kilometers	km	km	kilometers	0.6214	miles	mi
		AREA					AREA		
in²	square inches	645.2	square millimeters	mm	mm²	square millimeters	0.00155	square inches	in²
ft²	square feet	0.0929	square meters	m²	m²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft²
yd²	square yards	0.8361	square meters	m²	m²	square meters	1.196	square yards	yd²
ac	acres	0.4047	hectares	ha	ha	hectares	2.471	acres	ac
mi²	square miles	2.590	square kilometers	km²	km²	square kilometers	0.3861	square miles	mi²
		VOLUME			VOLUME				
fl oz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	mL	mL	milliliters	0.0338	fluid ounces	fl oz
gal	gallons	3.785	liters	L	L	liters	0.2642	gallons	gal
ft³	cubic feet	0.0283	cubic meters	m³	m³	cubic meters	35.315	cubic feet	ft³
yd³	cubic yards	0.7645	cubic meters	m³	m³	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards	yd³
		MASS					MASS		
oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g	g	grams	0.0353	ounces	oz
lb	pounds	0.4536	kilograms	kg	kg	kilograms	2.205	pounds	lb
т	short tons	0.907	megagrams	Mg	Mg	megagrams	1.1023	short tons	т
	(2000 lb)							(2000 lb)	
	TEMP	ERATURE	(exact)			TEMP	ERATURE	(exact)	
°F	degrees	(°F-32)/1.8	degrees	°C	°C	degrees	9/5+32	degrees	°F
	Fahrenheit	- /	Celsius			Celsius		Fahrenheit	
F	FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS					ORCE and	PRESSUR	E or STRE	SS
lbf	poundforce	4.448	Newtons	Ν	N	Newtons	0.2248	poundforce	lbf
lbf/in²	poundforce per square incl	<b>6.895</b>	kilopascals	kPa	kPa	kilopascals	0.1450	poundforce	lbf/in²

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author(s) who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views of the Oklahoma Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. While trade names may be used in this report, it is not intended as an endorsement of any machine, contractor, process, or product.

# **I-35 PAVEMENT INSTRUMENTATION PHASE II**

## FINAL REPORT

Dr. David H. Timm

National Center for Asphalt Technology 277 Technology Parkway Auburn, AL 36830

December 2009

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

BACKGROUND	1
TASK 1 – TECHNICAL GUIDANCE AND REVIEW	1
TASK 2 – SITE VISITS	2
TASK 3 – LABORATORY TESTING	

## LIST OF FIGURES and TABLES

Figure 1.	Twelve Channel Variable Resistor Array	.3
Figure 2.	APA Samples After Testing	.4
0	I I I I I I I	
Table 1.	APA Rut Depth Measurements	.4
Table 2.	Beam Fatigue Testing Summary	.5

#### BACKGROUND

The Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) has recently constructed a fullscale flexible pavement test section on I-35 near Purcell, Oklahoma. Working with the University of Oklahoma (OU) and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT), this ODOT section was constructed in May 2008 and features embedded pavement instrumentation to monitor vehicle speed, vehicle classification, pavement strain, pavement pressure, in situ moisture and temperature. These data sets will be used by ODOT to refine their existing pavement design method and aid in implementation of mechanistic-empirical pavement design methods in the future.

During Phase I of the project, NCAT's primary role was to design, procure and facilitate installation of the embedded instrumentation at the test site. NCAT also developed customized data processing algorithms for the OU team and provided training in their use. At this point in the project, the section has been built and instrumented with data collection and processing ongoing with regular frequency.

During technical meetings held at OU, attended by the OU, ODOT and NCAT teams in July 2008, there was discussion regarding the NCAT team participating in the second phase of the project which will continue with data collection/processing but also involve in-depth pavement analyses using the data sets described above. The areas of the proposed NCAT involvement included the following:

- Technical guidance regarding analyses of the data sets
- Assistance in troubleshooting the data acquisition systems
- Technical review of project reports developed by the OU team

Work in these areas was proposed to be accomplished in FY 2009 (October 2008 - September 2009) through email and teleconferences as needed in addition to one site visit.

#### TASK 1 – TECHNICAL GUIDANCE AND REVIEW

During FY 2009, there were two primary documents developed by the OU team for which the NCAT team provided technical review and assistance. They included:

- Solanki, P., M. Zaman, K. Muraleetharan and D. Timm, "Evaluation of Resilient Moduli of Pavement Layers at an Instrumented Section on I-35 in Oklahoma," International Journal of Road Materials and Pavement Design, Volume No. 10, Special Issue, 2009, pp. 167-188.
- Solanki, P., M. Zaman and K.K. Muraleetharan, "Field Performance Monitoring and Modeling of Instrumented Pavement on I-35 in McClain County – Construction and Instrumentation Report," ODOT Item No. 2200, August, 2009.

Both documents were reviewed and edited by the NCAT team. Direct feedback was provided to the OU team and necessary modifications were made to each. These

documents are not included with this report for the sake of brevity, but are available from the OU team. In addition to developing these documents, there were numerous technical consultations via phone and email with OU project personnel.

#### TASK 2 – SITE VISITS

In the original proposal for this project, two site visits were scheduled. The first was for the NCAT service center to provide troubleshooting/maintenance at the roadside installation. The second was for the NCAT team to visit with ODOT and OU to discuss data and findings from the project and continue to progress toward further data and report development. Since the roadside equipement was performing extremely well, it was decided by ODOT/OU that a troubleshooting/maintenance visit was not needed. A budget reallocation request dated August 14, 2009 was approved by ODOT to eliminate this visit and instead provide laboratory fatigue testing at the NCAT service center. This work is described in Task 3 below.

The other visit, including NCAT, ODOT and OU team members, was held on September 17 - 18, 2009 at the OU campus. The first day was devoted to reviewing data sets where the NCAT team provided guidance to the OU team in future data analyses. Discussion focused on characterizing strain and pressure on a continuous basis from which fatigue and rutting transfer functions will be developed. It is NCAT's understanding that this work is ongoing by the OU team.

The second day of the visit was devoted to inspecting the I-35 project location and retrofitting the roadside data acquisition equipment with a custom-built variable resistor array. This equipment was developed and supplied by the NCAT service center. Pictured in Figure 1, this array replaced the many individual resistors that had been added by the OU team to zero strain gauges since the beginning of the project. After adding the array to the system, each gauge was successfully returned to an optimal baseline voltage. Future adjustments can now be easily made by simply "tuning" each gauge rather than physically removing and adding fixed resistors to each sensor.



Figure 1. Twelve Channel Variable Resistor Array

#### TASK 3 – LABORATORY TESTING

As noted above, a budget reallocation request was approved by ODOT for NCAT to provide beam fatigue and asphalt pavement analyzer (APA) testing on specimens fabricated and shipped by the OU team. The request from OU was to complete the following:

- 1. APA testing (6 specimens at  $64^{\circ}$ C, hose pressure = 100 psi and wheel load = 100 lb)
- 2. Four-point fatigue test on standard plastic beam sample (No. of samples = 1)
- 3. Four-point fatigue test on laboratory compacted asphalt concrete samples (No. of samples = 24; 12 @ T = 5°C, 12 @ T = 20°C)

It is important to note that analysis by the NCAT team was not requested by OU. The task was to simply conduct the testing and provide the data to OU.

The APA testing was completed on September 22, 2009. The results are summarized in Table 1 while Figure 2 illustrates the samples at the conclusion of testing.

Table 1. TH TARA Depth Weastrements						
Automated Rut Manual Rut						
Specimen #	Depths, mm	Depths, mm				
T6	3.27	4.30				
T8	3.16	4.12				
T18	4.67	7.23				
T 19	4.99	7.82				
T17	3.12	4.85				
T16	3.45	6.09				
Average	3.78	5.74				

Table 1. APA Kut Depth Weasurements							
Automated Rut Manual F							
Specimen #	Depths, mm	Depths, mm					
Т6	3.27	4.30					
T8	3.16	4.12					
T18	4.67	7.23					
T19	4.99	7.82					
T17	3.12	4.85					
T16	3.45	6.09					
Avorago	2 7 9	5 7 4					

ADA Dut Donth Magguramonte

Tabla 1



Figure 2. APA Samples After Testing

The beam fatigue testing was a bit more complicated due to issues with shipping specimens to NCAT. The first set of specimens were shipped mid-August to NCAT. Upon arrival, it was noted by NCAT engineers that several of the specimens had experienced enough bending during shipment that testing should not proceed, or at least be noted in case the results appeared erroneous. Another set of specimens were received on September 4, 2009. These were better supported to prevent bending, but experienced cracking due to handling by the shipper. The last set of specimens arrived at NCAT at the end of September (29<sup>th</sup>). The arrival of the specimens on this date prevented testing from being completed by the end project (Sept. 30<sup>th</sup>). Given the numerous issues with shipping, only 10 specimens were tested before the end of the contract period. Eight were tested at 20°C and two were tested at 5°C. The 5°C specimens failed immediately upon commencement of the test so the data are not included here. Table 2 summarizes the data for the  $20^{\circ}$ C specimens.

	2	<u> </u>	· _					
Sample ID	1-1	1-2*	2-1	2-2*	5-1	10-1*	10-2	11-1*
Strain Level (ms)	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Chamber Temperature (C)	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Beam Width (mm)	63.794	60.582	61.102	63.488	62.89	60.198	62.638	59.2
Beam Height (mm)	48.844	51.502	51.998	52.276	48.566	50.434	48.792	51.68
Beam Length (mm)	379.64	381.58	380.64	380.39	380.69	381.39	380.92	380.51
Cycles to Failure (ASTM)	287290	194980	110910	146770	95980	149620	261010	69530
Cycles to Failure (AASHTO)	185490	84890	54250	82540	47980	111340	183370	37530
Initial Beam Stiffness (MPa)	8144	10636	7565	7723	8668	6868	6114	9460
Termination Stiffness (MPa)	2036	2659	1891.25	1930.75	2167	1717	1528.5	2365

Table 2. Beam Fatigue Testing Summary

\*Specimen exceeded ASTM fabrication tolerance of +/- 2mm