OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1

FOREWORD

SECTION 2

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS
DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITIES FOR PROJECT DEVELOPMENT
SCHEDULE (EXAMPLES)

SECTION 3

ON SYSTEM

INTRODUCTION – ON SYSTEM PROJECTS
PROCESS INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROJECT INITIATION MEETING
PROJECT INITIATION GUIDELINES
PROJECT INITIATION REPORT (EXAMPLE)
PROCESS INSTRUCTIONS FOR PLAN DEVELOPMENT MEETINGS
PRELIMINARY PLAN FIELD REVIEW MEETING GUIDELINES
RIGHT-OF-WAY AND UTILITY MEETING GUIDELINES
FINAL PLAN FIELD REVIEW MEETING GUIDELINES
PROCESS INSTRUCTIONS FOR PS&E PACKAGE

SECTION 4

OFF SYSTEM

INTRODUCTION – OFF SYSTEM PROJECTS
PROCESS INSTRUCTIONS FOR PLAN DEVELOPMENT MEETINGS
PLAN-IN-HAND FIELD REVIEW MEETING GUIDELINES
FINAL PLAN FIELD REVIEW MEETING GUIDELINES

APPENDIX

ON SYSTEM

PROJECT SUBMISSION LETTER
REQUIRED MEETINGS FOR THE LETTING PROCESS
REVISION DISTRIBUTED BY DEPARTMENT

OFF SYSTEM

REQUEST FOR CIRB PROGRAM PROJECT CONSIDERATION LOCAL GOVERNMENT NEPA CHECKLIST REGULATORY PROVISIONS CHECKLIST FOR NON FHWA PROJECTS REGULATORY COMPLIANCE RESOLUTION (NON FEDERAL FUNDS) PROJECT SUBMISSION TO RIGHT-OF-WAY

FOREWORD

History: The 2001 Project Development Process was created with a goal to

maximize productivity and streamline plan development.

Modifications to the Plan Development Process were implemented

to establish the needed meetings and the minimum project

progress required to have a successful review.

With the progression of time, the requirements, deliverables and activities included in the work break down schedule have evolved, therefore requiring that these changes be documented resulting in

an update to the original Project Development Process.

Responsible Party: The responsibility for strict adherence to the requirements for the

meetings continues to lie with each Division, as outlined in the packet. The schedules for each project will be created by the Project Manager which will include the dates for the project meetings. Tools under development will allow access for each Division to view, edit and update project status information and utilize resource leveling data. In addition a central filing system is

available for the filing of final project documentation.

Modifications: The 2019 updates to the Project Development Process include the

plan delivery requirements for meetings and submissions to ODOT, along with any revised sections on the Description of the Activities

for the Project Development Process.

Meeting Location: The anticipated locations of the meetings are reported in the

packets and do not represent a change from procedures established in the original 2001 Project Development Process.

The Future: It is not possible to create a single project development and

implementation process that will prove effective for every project every time. We must recognize that the expertise and judgment of the responsible individuals at each phase of development and

implementation cannot be minimized or replaced.

The Departments Project Development Process must be one of continuous improvement. We will continue to strive to improve the

process to ensure successful project delivery.

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Project management methodologies shall be used for development of every construction project in the Eight Year Construction Work Plan. The Project Management Division shall establish and maintain meaningful project schedules, establish accountability for project components, manage the construction program and measure performance.

The Project Manager shall establish a milestone based project schedule for each project identified in the Eight Year Construction Work Plan. Each schedule shall be specific to the projects and the milestones shall be set considering the requirements of the components associated with each of the specific projects in accordance with the development phases as outlined in the project schedules.

The Project Management Division shall facilitate any necessary modifications to the scope, schedule and/or budgets of approved Eight Year Construction Work Plan projects through a formal revision process.

Validation of projects shall be accomplished through drive outs and/or other project team meetings with the complete participation of appropriate Engineering and Operations Divisions as deemed necessary. The responsible Project Manager shall schedule, coordinate and facilitate these meetings.

DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITIES FOR PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1 Initiation Phase

1.1 Reconnaissance Report

Pertinent information is gathered to assist in the completion of the Project Initiation.

1.2 Project Initiation Meeting

A multi - divisional activity that evaluates a project and determines if the mental image of the design is consistent with the available funds and this activity results in a final initiation report document.

1.3 Project Initiation Report

A comprehensive report that documents the scope of the project.

2 Contracting Phase

1.4 Solicitation

Once ODOT has determined that work shall be contracted to outside parties, a notice is sent out so that those interested may respond. These responses are compiled into a list that is used for the selection process.

1.5 Selection

The process by which qualified consultants are selected by an ODOT selection committee to work under contract to complete the requested services represented in the solicitation.

1.6 Contract Development

The development and execution of a contract that identifies the scope and fee as negotiated with the selected consultant.

3 Preliminary Project Development Phase

3.1 NEPA

3.1.1 Conduct Environmental Studies

Studies are conducted to evaluate the impact of a project on the environment and the community.

3.1.2 Finalize Environmental Document

The studies and any necessary comments are included in a final document which is then presented to the Federal Highway Administration for approval.

3.2 Survey

A project survey is initiated in order to provide criteria, topography, digital terrain models, utilities and other information, according to original scope, that is necessary for project design.

3.3 Bridge Hydraulics

The Hydraulics Engineer completes studies on the location to ensure that the right size structure (bridge or culvert) is built. After the studies are complete, they give the designers the type of bridge or culvert that is required.

3.4 Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting

A multi-divisional activity that presents a preliminary proposal and provides for a site visit to visually check for compatibility and completeness. Information from survey and recommendations from the hydraulic conference are used to aid in establishing the vertical and horizontal alignments. The preliminary design is evaluated to determine that the objectives are consistent with the proposed scope for the project.

3.5 Right-of-Way & Utility Meeting

A multi-divisional activity that evaluates right-of-way specific issues prior to the submission of design plans to Right-of-Way Division.

3.6 Right-of-Way Submission

Provide design plans outlining the proposed right-of-way take to the Right-of-Way Division.

4 Final Project Development Phase

4.1 Pedological Survey

Geotechnical Investigations are completed to aid in the design of the pavement and determine slope stability requirements.

4.2 Bridge Soundings

Geotechnical Investigations are completed to aid in the design of the Bridge structure.

4.3, 4.4, 4.5 Roadway, Bridge, and Traffic Final Plans

Plans are developed to a stage that will allow for a Final Plan Field Review Meeting to be held.

4.6 Final Plan Field Review Meeting

A multi - divisional activity that presents a design and provides for a site visit if necessary to visually check for completeness. This meeting is held to review the near completed plans to verify that all design elements are included and that the necessary pay items and notes are in the plans. The Final Pavement Design is to be incorporated in the plans and any phase construction is to be addressed in the earthwork quantities and cross-sections.

4.7 Land Acquisition

The purchase of property rights deemed necessary for the construction and maintenance of a proposed transportation project. Activities included may be cost estimating, funding/programming, plan review, contracting, title investigation, mapping, appraisal and appraisal review, negotiation, plan revision, condemnation, residential and commercial relocation.

4.7.2 Abatement & Demolition

Abatement includes NESHAP Inspection and, when necessary, Abatement Planning and Abatement Implementation, generally removal of asbestos insulation and/or siding. Other activities that may be included are removal of underground storage tanks, remediation of contaminated soil and mitigation of other hazardous substances. Demolition includes the removal of structures or other improvements from the proposed Right-of-Way.

4.7.3 Conduct Utility Relocation

Completed survey information and construction plans are utilized to identify utility details and current ownerships. On-site meetings are held with each utility to address proposals and agreements. Upon agreement, utilities are moved with ODOT oversight.

4.8 PS&E Assembly

The assembly of Plans, Specifications and Estimates from each Division contributing to the plan development in preparation for the official submission to the Office Engineer.

4.9 PS&E to Office Engineer

The submission of Final Plans, Specifications and Estimates to Office Engineer. This submission also includes any project specific permits or agreements such as 404 Permits, Railroad Agreements, etc.

4.9.1 Place on Shelf

The complete package for submission may be placed on the shelf if completed earlier than the planned letting date. The schedule templates used for most projects facilitate a 2 year shelf period.

4.9.2 Conduct Re-Evaluation

A process to ensure that a previously approved NEPA Document is up to date.

5 Letting Phase

5.1 PS&E Authorization

The process of assembling project information in preparation for approval for funding authorization by FHWA.

5.2 Let Project

The Office Engineer produces a contract package and publishes the formal advertisement to solicit bids from interested contractors. The bids are opened at a formal meeting conducted by ODOT.

5.3 Award Project

The bids are evaluated and a recommendation for contract award is made to the Oklahoma State Transportation Commission. Upon Commission approval and concurrence by Federal Highway Administration, the PS&E package is submitted to ODOT Construction Division for issuance of the work order.

5.4 Commission Approval

The Oklahoma State Transportation Commission approves the project for construction . ODOT Construction Division will then set the date for construction to begin.

ors Constraint Lype	As Late As Possible		As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible		As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	ASL	As Late As Possible As I ate As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible As I ate As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible		As Late As Possible					As Late As Possible	As Late	As Late As Possible			Late	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	_ate	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible					As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	As Late As Possible	71 As Late As Possible		,65 As Late As Possible
Successors		4	5	9	∞	σ	7		12	15,41		16.20	10,20	18	21	20	22	23 23	24	26	27	28	29,30	31	32	33	34	35	37	46,87			42	43	44	45	46	48 49 51	52,53,54,55	20	52,53,54,55	6/9	57	57,71	57,58,	72	59,60,61,65
Predecessors			3	4	2	ď	ο α	,	6	11		40	7 7	16	17	16	18.20	21	22	23	24	26	27	28	87 52	30.31	32	33	ب بر	38			12	41	42	43	44	45,37	47	47	49	47	48.50	48,50	48,50	47	52,53,54,55
Finish Thi. 3/31/11	Thu 1/6/11	Thu 9/16/10	Thu 12/9/10	Thu 12/23/10	Thu 1/6/11	Wed 2/2/11	Thu 2/3/11	Thu 3/31/11	Thu 3/3/11	Thu 3/31/11	Wed 11/2/11	Wed 5/18/11	Fri 4/15/11	Fri 5/6/11	Wed 5/18/11	Thu 6/23/11	Wed 3/10/11	Mon 6/6/11	Mon 6/20/11	Thu 6/23/11	Wed 11/2/11 Thu 7/7/11	Thu 7/14/11	Mon 7/25/11	Tue 7/26/11	Tue 9/13/11	Tue 9/27/11	Tue 10/4/11	Tue 10/11/11	_	Wed 11/2/11	Thu 6/13/13	Fri 6/7/13	MON 4/15/13 Fri 8/31/12	Fri 9/14/12	Wed 9/26/12	Thu 9/27/12	Thu 9/27/12	Wed 10/10/12	Wed 10/17/12	Thu 10/18/12	Thu 10/18/12	Med 1/23/13	Wed 1/23/13	Wed 1/23/13	Wed 1/23/13	Fri 4/12/13	Thu 1/24/13
O daye Fri 9/10/10					Fri 1	0 days Fri 1///11	ľ					0 days Fri 4/1/11	-	0 days Mon 4/18/11			0 days Thu 5/19/11	1	0 days Tue 6/7/11		0 days Fri 6/24/11					0 days Wed 1/21/11		0 days Wed 10/5/11	0 days Wed 10/12/11	0 days Wed 11/2/11	days			370 days Mon 9/3/12		Thu 9/27/	70 days Thu 9/27/12	- 11		Thu	240 days Thu 10/18/12	81 days Fri 12/14/12 35 days Thii 10/18/12		235 days Thu 10/18/12		— I.	35 days Thu 1/24/13
145 days	85 days	5 days	60 days	10 days	10 days	10 days	1 day	40 days	20 days	20 days	154 days	34 days	1 day	15 days	8 days		10 days	days	10 days	3 days	94 days	5 days	7 days			35 days 10 days	5 days	5 days	10 days	1 day	421 days	201 days			days	0 days 3				0 days		70 days 2					0 days 2
lask Name	Reconnaissance Report	Request Recon Data	Collect Recon Data	Review Recon Data	Finalize Recon Report	Schedule Project Initiation Meeting	Conduct Project Initiation Drive Out	Project Initiation Report	Prepare Aerial with Limits of Right-of-Way	Finalize Project Initiation Report	Contracting Phase	Solicitation Droft Solicitation Sout for Devices	Digit Solicitation Post Solicitation	Receive Letters of Interest	ŭ	Selection	Develor Shortlist	Obtain Shortlist Approval	Conduct Interviews	Obtain Selection Approval	Conduct Pre-penalisation Meeting	Conduct Negotiation Meeting	Receive Final Scope and Fee from Consultant	Submit Agenda Item	Finalize Contract Document Doctive Commission Approval	Consultant - Execute Contract	Department - Execute Contract	FHWA - Execute Contract	Focimber Finds	Issue Notice to Proceed	ary P	മ⊨	Conduct Environmental Studies Receive Final Initiation Report & Construction Limit	Review Initiation Report & Establish Study Footprint	Coordinate With FHWA As Required	Prepare Request For Task Order Services	Negotiate Consultant Cost Proposal	Plot Study Footprint	Notify Property Owners	al Resources & Tribal Coordina				Conduct Hazardous Waste Studies		Coordi	ODOT review of Specialist Studies
200		1.1.1	1.1.2		4.1.1	1.21	12.2		1.3.1	1.3.2		2.1	21.1.1	2.1.3		2.2	222	2.2.3	2.2.4	5 2.2.5	2.3	2.3.2	2.3.3	2.3.4	2.3.5	2.3.7	2.3.8	2.3.9	23.10		3	3.1	3.1.1	3.1.1.2	3.1.1.3	3.1.1.4	3.1.1.5	3117	3.1.1.8	3.1.1.9	3.1.1.10	3.1.1.1	3.1.1.13	3.1.1.14	3.1.1.15	3.1.1.16	3.1.1.17
ID Step	- 2	ı ε	4		9 '	~ @	9		11	12 3	13	4 t	16	17	18 4	19	21	22	23		S	27	28	29	% %	32	33	45 rs	38			39	0 4 4	45	43	44	c ₄	47	48	49	50	22	53	54	22	20	2/

, ,		טמומוסו	Float Stait		5105533311	Successors	
3.1.1.19	Coordinate With USFWS	35 days	257 days Mon 2/25/13	Fri 4/12/13	2/	7.7	As Late As Possible
3.1.1.2(35 days	257 days Mon 2/25/13	FFI 4/12/13	2/	7.	As Late As Possible
3.1.1.2		0 days	-	Mion 4/15/13	75	1./	As Late As Possible
3 1 1 23		0 days	320 days Thu 2/1/13	Thu 2/7/13	62	71 66	As Late As Possible
312	Finalize Environmenta	97 days	Thu 1/24	Fri 6/7/13	70	200	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.1	Prepare For Initial Public Mtd Affer Specialist Studies	10 days		Wed 2/6/13	57.58	99 9	As Late As Possible
3122	Conduct Pre Meeting	1 day		Thu 2/7/13	65.63	29	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.3	Prepare Public Meeting Notifications	15 days	Fri 2/8/	Thu 2/28/13	66.51	89	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.4	Conduct Public Meeting	1 day		Fri 3/1/13	67	500	As Late As Possible
3125	End Public Comment Period	20 days		Fri 3/29/13	89	02	As Late As Possible
3126	Respond To Public Comments	10 days	235 days Mon 4/1/13	Fri 4/12/13	69	71	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.7	Select Preferred Alternative	0 days	235 days Mon 4/15/13	Mon 4/15/13	54.55.59.60.61.63.70	72	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.8	Prepare Draft EA	10 days		Fri 4/26/13	71.56.107		As Late As Possible
3.1.2.9	FHWA/EPD Review Draft EA	20 days	davs Mon 4/29/1	Fri 5/24/13	72	74	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.10	Prepare	0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	73	75.76	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.11	FHWA Approve EA	0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	74	7.2	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.15		0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	74	22	l ate As
3.1.2.13		0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	75.76	78	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.14		0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	11	62	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.15	Conduct	0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	78	80	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.16	End Public Comment Period	0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	62	81	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.17		0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	80	82	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.18		0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	81	83	As Late As Possible
31219		o days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	82	84	As Late As Possi
3.1.2.20	FHWA Prepare FONSI	0 days	0 days Mon 5/27/13	Mon 5/27/13	83	85	As Late As Possible
3.1.2.21		10 days	days Mon 5/27/1	Fri 6/7/13	84	109,149,145	As Late As Possible
3.2	Surv	60 days		Wed 1/25/12			As Late As Possib
3.2.1	Determine the Survey Type and Extents	2 days	0 days Thu 11/3/11	$\overline{}$	37	88	As Late As Possible
3.2.2	urvey	56 days	0 days Mon 11/7/11	Mon 1/23/12	87	89	As Late As Possible
3.2.3	Archive Survey	2 days	0 days Tue 1/24/12	Wed 1/25/12	88	95	As Late As Possible
3.3	Bridge Hydraulics	30 days		Thu 4/26/12			As Late As Possible
3.3.1	Hydraulics	15 days	_	Thu 4/5/12	32	92	As Late As Possible
3.3.2	Conduct Bridge Hydraulic Conference	1 day		Fri 4/6/12	91	800	As Late As Possible
3.3.3	Finalize Hydraulic Keport	14 days	Ι.	I nu 4/26/12	26	96	As Late As Possible
4.0	Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting	147 days		Thu 2/45/40	Co	0	AS Late As Possible
0.4.	Sot Minimum Bridge Elevation	30 days		Tio 5/13/12	80	90	As Late As Possi
2.4.6	Set Finished Crade	o days	0 days FII 4/27/12	Fri 5/11/12	30	97	As Late As Possible
2 7	Dranging Doodway, Dralimingry, Dlans	0 days	0 days Wed 3/2/12	Fri 7/20/12	92	33,30,112	As Late As Lossible
0.4.4 7 7 7	Droppin Bridge Drollming Mails	30 days		Fil 7/20/12	9/	100	2 4
0.4.0 2.4.0	Prepare Bridge Premimaly Plans Dorform Drolliminary, Plan Eigld Doview Mooting	20 days	0 days Mon 7/23/12	FII 1/20/12 Eri 8/17/12	08 00	100 101 105 115 117 115	
2.4.0	Disktof May 2 Hillity Mosting	424 days	0 days Mon 8/20/12	Thi 2/7/12	90,00	102,121,120,110,144,1	<
2.5	Establish Proposed Right-of-Way	104 days		Thu 1/10/13	100	103	As Late As Lossible
352	Conduct Right-of-Way & Utility Meeting	20 days		Thu 2/7/13	102	106 105	As Late As Possible
3.6	Right-of-Way Submission	90 days		Thu 6/13/13			As Late As Possik
3.6.1	RW Meeting Plan Revisions	45 days		Thu 4/11/13	103	107	As Late As Possible
3.6.2	Prepare Project Agreement	20 days		Thu 4/11/13	103	107	As Late As Possible
3.6.3	Submit Construction Plans for Right-of-Way	1 day	0 davs Fri 4/12/13	Fri 4/12/13	106.105	119.72.108	As Late As Possible
3.6.4	Perform Right-of-Way Cost Estimating	20 days		Fri 6/7/13	107	109	As Late As Possible
3.6.5	Authorize Right-of-Way and Utility Funding	4 days			85,108	132,133	As Late As Possil
	Develoment Phase	701 days	0 days Fri 6/14/13	Fri 2/19/16			As Late As Possible
4.1	Survey	77 days	days				ate ⊿
4.1.1		2 days	357 days Wed 9/25/13	Thu 9/26/13	26	113	As Late As Possible
4.1.2	Perform Pedological Survey	75 days		Thu 1/9/14	112	118	As Late As Possible
4.2	Bridge Soundings	80 days	404 days Fri 3/7/14	Thu 6/26/14			ate As
4.2.1	Generate Bridge Sounding Requirements	5 days	404 days Fri 3/7/14	Thu 3/13/14	100	116	As Late As Possible
7.7.4	Perform Bridge Soundings	/ 5 days	404 days Fri 3/14/14	1 nu 6/26/14	CI.	77.	AS Late AS Possible

INTRODUCTION – ON SYSTEM PROJECTS

The information presented is to identify the Project Development Process for multifunctional projects located on the State Highway System.

The included sections will identify the primary meetings that are to take place. These meetings involve the multi-divisional personnel that are needed to develop the design plans. The meetings are to assist in insuring that the plans for the project are complete and have the necessary components for submission in order to be let for construction.

These meetings occur within the project development process to provide that each responsible division will have opportunities for input and coordination in the progression of the plan development.

Although single function projects will follow the same process as multi-functional projects, there is usually less coordination required with other divisions and therefore the meeting requirements are reduced.

Process Instructions for Project Initiation Meeting

- I. Project Initiation Report Forms have been developed to establish the desired scope for new projects that have been added to the 8 Year Construction Work Plan or are being considered as future projects.
- II. Project Managers shall assemble a team from various Engineering Divisions that have authority to make project design decisions for their prospective Division.
- III. Reconnaissance data will be provided to aid in the decision making process that is documented in the Project Initiation Report Form.
- IV. Team Members will meet at the project site location to evaluate the current conditions and establish the scope for the project that will meet the intended objective.
- V. The Project Manager will distribute a Draft Project Initiation Report to the attending team members within two weeks of the initial drive out site visit. Roadway Design will develop an aerial of the project location depicting the anticipated Right-of-Way footprint for the project. The aerial will be distributed with the Draft Report for use by Right-of-Way & Utilities Division to develop Preliminary Right-of-Way and Utility Relocation estimates that will be included in the Final Document. Environmental Programs Division will make use of the aerial to begin the NEPA Process.
- VI. The Project Manager will set a date for review comments to be returned along with project cost estimates from each Division (approximately two weeks). Once the information has been compiled into the Final Report the Project Manager will distribute the final report.

Project Initiation Meeting Guidelines

Reasons for the Project Initiation Meeting (what will be gained):

- Establish intent for project
- Identify needed areas for improvement
- Verify site conditions
- Identify any special conditions that could impact design
- Identify any known environmental issues
- Discuss alternatives to accomplish the project intent
- verify longitudinal location of bridges
- verify bridge hydraulic assumptions
- discuss possible detour locations
- identify right-of-way and utility needs
- discuss access control
- discuss construction sequencing
- discuss Design Safety Review
- discuss Project Schedule

Who is required to attend if applicable:

Bridge Division

Environmental Programs Division

FHWA (on Oversight projects)

Field Division

Project Management Division

Rail Programs Division

Right-of-Way & Utilities Division

Roadway Design Division

Survey Division

Traffic Engineering Division

Project Initiation Package

Items used for Project Initiation:

- a. Pavement Management Data
- b. Traffic Accident Data
- c. ADT Data (Map)
- d. Location Maps (County, USGS, Aerial, etc.)
- e. Bridge Inspection Information
- f. Cultural Resources and Biological Information as available
- g. Preliminary Schedule
- h. Preliminary Estimates
- i. Project Initiation Meeting Form
- j. Reconnaissance report if available

Process Instructions for Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting, Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting and Final Plan Field Review Meeting

Introduction

The intent of the three meetings is to ensure timely plan progression and minimize delays by providing communication opportunities at these key milestones in the process. Estimate updates will be performed at the time of these meetings which will provide the needed awareness to operate within a fiscally constrained budget.

The Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting is to take place early in the process so that any design concerns can be addressed and any modifications to the planned design can be made, thereby avoiding the possible re-work that would have been required if the plan development had progressed beyond this milestone.

The Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting is to ensure the plans are sufficiently complete for submission to the Right-of-Way & Utilities Division and that the utility corridors provided are adequate for the anticipated utility relocations. The proposed Right-of-Way is to be sufficient to allow for all construction activities and any required temporary or permanent erosion control features included in the design.

A Combination Meeting may be scheduled to take the place of the Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting and the Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting on projects of a routine nature where plan development is expected to progress to the Right-of-Way Meeting stage and not encounter design issues that would require significant re-work. On projects where team members have concerns with utilizing the Combined Meeting it is recommended that the initial Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting be held.

The Final Plan Field Review Meeting provides for the opportunity to review near completed plans and make any modifications required for final submission for letting.

- I. The Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting and the Final Field Review Meeting will be held in the field. Prior to the field meetings, any discussions between Engineering Divisions that do not require Field Division input should be resolved to reduce field time. These Field Review Meetings will be facilitated by the Project Management Division when appropriate.
- II. The Preliminary and Final Plan Field Review meetings will first take place at the ODOT Division office or another appropriate location, out of the weather conditions and that will provide seating accommodations for all participants, prior to proceeding to the project location for the field review.
- III. Scheduling and formal notification of the field meetings will be provided by the Project Management Division prior to the field meetings. The Designer will

- provide access to Field Review plans to Project Management Division for notification to all participants two weeks in advance of the scheduled field meetings.
- IV. The Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting will be held in the Central Office, unless issues arise that necessitate a field meeting.
- V. Scheduling and formal notification of the Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting will be provided by the Project Management Division prior to the meeting. The Designer will provide access to Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting plans to the participants two weeks in advance of the scheduled meeting. Roadway Division and Right-of-Way & Utilities Division are required to attend and invitations will be sent by the Project Management Division to other divisions for their participation.
- VI. The requirements as stated in the Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting process, Right-of-Way Meeting process and the Final Plan Review Meeting process will be utilized by ODOT staff and consultants. These meetings will not be held until all items are available.
- VII. The meeting agenda will be distributed at the time the meeting notifications are sent out or when the plans are available for review.
- VIII. A draft report of the meeting minutes will be distributed to the meeting participants for review no later than two weeks subsequent to the meetings. Updated cost estimates and the resolution of unresolved issues will be due to the Project Manager within two weeks of the meeting date. All corrections, additions or modifications to the draft meeting minutes are to be returned to the Project Manager to be incorporated into the final document for distribution. Consultants will be responsible for the draft and final meeting reports for which they are the designer. Any resulting cost and/or schedule modification requests will be prepared and advocated by the Project Manager.

Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting Guidelines

Reasons for the Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting (what will be gained):

- check horizontal alignment
- check vertical alignment
- verify survey information (buildings, mailboxes, driveways)
- verify topography and Digital Terrain Model
- verify Project Scope
- discuss environmental draft document
- verify longitudinal location of bridges
- verify bridge hydraulic assumptions
- discuss detour locations
- identify right-of-way and utility needs
- identify environmental concerns
- discuss access control
- discuss construction sequencing
- discuss Design Safety Review
- identify the need for design exceptions
- discuss Project Schedule
- discuss cost estimates

Who is to receive notification of meeting plans & required to attend if applicable:

Bridge Division

Consultants

Environmental Programs Division

FHWA (on Oversight projects)

Field Division

Local Entities

Project Management Division

Rail Programs Division

Right-of-Way & Utilities Division (Utilities Branch)

Roadway Design Division

Survey Division

Traffic Engineering Division

- 1 Additional half size sets or notifications are required if any of the following apply:
 - a. a railroad is involved
 - b. within limits of city or town
 - c. traffic signals are involved
 - d. county is involved
 - e. more than one ODOT field division is involved
 - f. within an MPO

Preliminary Plan Field Review Plans

Set forth are the minimum plan requirements for the Preliminary Plan Field Review:

- **1.** Title (minus Index of Sheets and Standards)
- **2.** Preliminary Typical Section (with assumed thickness)
- 3. Plan and Profile sheets
 - a. existing topography
 - b. existing right-of way limits from survey
 - c. existing access control from survey
 - d. existing utilities from survey
 - e. utility ownership, size and type from survey
 - f. existing grade
 - g. preliminary grade
 - h. preliminary superelevation
 - i. preliminary top of cut/ toe of slope
 - j. existing drainage structures
 - k. preliminary bridge
 - I. final bridge hydraulic information
 - m. existing fencing
 - n. existing driveways
 - o. location, width and type of driveways (to be verified at meeting)
 - p. city corporate limits from survey
 - q. section, township and range from survey
 - r. preliminary detour location with horizontal and vertical
 - s. preliminary horizontal alignment
 - t. preliminary retaining walls and soundwalls
- **4.** Preliminary Bridge General Plan and Elevation
 - a. existing structure
 - b. existing contours
 - c. preliminary structure
 - d. preliminary bridge header and riprap
 - e. existing and proposed profile
 - f. hydraulic information
 - g. construction phasing
 - h. Centerline Station
 - i. vertical and horizontal clearance
- **5.** Preliminary Estimate of Earth Work
- **6.** Survey Data Sheets including Utility Data Sheets

Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting Guidelines

Reasons for the Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting (what will be gained):

- address Right-of-Way issues prior to Right-of-Way submission
- identify Right-of-Way specific issues
- discuss Project Schedule
- discuss cost estimates
- if Right-of-Way is not required, this meeting may not be necessary, however a R/W Submission for confirmation of No R/W No Utilities is required.

Who is to receive notification of meeting plans & required to attend if applicable:

Bridge Division

Consultants

Field Division

Local Entities

Project Management Division

Rail Programs Division

Right-of-Way & Utilities Division (R/W Mapping)

Roadway Design Division

Who is to receive notification of meeting plans and will be invited to attend:

Bridge Division

Consultants

Environmental Programs Division

FHWA (on Oversight projects)

Field Division

Local Entities

Project Management Division

Rail Programs Division

Right-of-Way & Utilities Division (R/W Mapping and Utilities Branch)

Roadway Design Division

Survey Division

Traffic Engineering Division

1 Additional sets or notification as required for additional participants.

(Combination Meeting, PFR-R/W and UT, will require notification of meeting plans to Bridge Hydraulics).

Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting Plans

Set forth are the **minimum plan requirements** for the **Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting**. The **bold items** are in addition to the requirements for the Preliminary Plan Field Review Meeting.

- **1.** Title (minus Index of Sheets and Standards)
- **2. Final** Typical Section (with assumed thickness)
- **3.** Plan and Profile sheets to include:
 - a. existing topography
 - b. existing right-of way limits from survey
 - c. existing access control from survey
 - d. existing utilities from survey
 - e. final utility ownerships, size and type
 - f. existing grade
 - g. final grade
 - h. **final** superelevation
 - i. **final** top of cut/ toe of slope
 - j. existing drainage structures
 - k. preliminary bridge
 - I. final bridge hydraulic information
 - m. existing fencing
 - n. existing driveways
 - o. final driveway location, width and type with notes
 - p. city corporate limits from survey
 - q. section, township and range from survey
 - r. final detour location with horizontal and vertical alignments
 - s. final horizontal alignment
 - t. new right-of-way
 - u. new access control
 - v. final structures including storm sewers with notes
 - w. new R/W fence requirements
 - x. plusses and distances to any potentially effected building
 - y. final location of retaining walls and sound walls
 - z. environmental commitments
- **4.** Survey Data Sheets including Utility Data Sheets
- 5. Cross Sections
 - a. final toes
 - b. existing utilities
 - c. final driveways and notes
 - d. final structures including storm sewers and notes
 - e. new right-of-way

- retaining walls (offset and earthwork) final detours f.
- g.

Final Plan Field Review Meeting Guidelines

Reasons for the Final Plan Field Review Meeting (what will be gained):

- provide stakeholders the opportunity to interject minor plan changes prior to PS&E submission
- verify that the agreed upon changes from previous meetings were met
- confirm that plans as produced still match site conditions (power lines, fences,)
- review/ verify construction sequence
- discuss constructability issues and traffic control issues
- review and verify pay items lists, quantities and notes from Roadway, Bridge and Traffic
- discuss erosion control
- verify environmental note requirements
- discuss cost estimates

Who is to receive notification of meeting plans & required to attend if applicable:

Bridge Division

Consultants

Environmental Programs Division

FHWA (on Oversight projects)

Field Division

Local Entities

Project Management Division

Rail Programs Division

Roadway Design Division

Right-of-Way & Utilities Division (R/W Mapping and/or Utilities Branch)

Traffic Engineering Division

Preconstruction Manager

- Additional half size sets with cross sections are required if any of the following apply:
 - a. a railroad is involved
 - b. within limits of city or town
 - c. traffic signals are involved
 - d. county is involved
 - e. more than one ODOT field division is involved
 - f. within an MPO

Final Plan Field Review Plans

Set forth are the **minimum plan requirements** for the **Final Plan Field Review Meeting**. The **bold items** are in addition to the requirements for the Preliminary Plan Field Review and Right-of-Way Meetings.

- 1. Title (with Preliminary Index of Sheets and Standards)
- **2. Final** Typical Section (with final pavement design)
- 3. Pay Item List, Quantities and Notes
- 4. Environmental Mitigation Notes
- 5. Sequence of Construction
- 6. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
- 7. Erosion Control Plan Sheet
- **8.** Plan and Profile sheets to include:
 - a. existing topography
 - b. existing right-of way limits from survey
 - c. existing access control from survey
 - d. existing utilities from survey
 - e. final utility ownerships, size and type
 - f. existing grade
 - g. final grade
 - final top of cut/ toe of slope
 - i. existing drainage structures
 - j. **final** bridge
 - k. final hydraulic information
 - existing fencing
 - m. existing driveways
 - n. final driveway location, width and type with notes
 - o. city corporate limits from survey
 - p. section, township and range from survey
 - q. final detour location with horizontal and vertical
 - r. final horizontal and vertical alignment
 - s. final new Right-of-Way
 - t. final new access control
 - u. final structures including storm sewers with notes
 - v. new R/W fence requirements
 - w. plusses and distances to any potentially effected building
 - x. location of retaining walls

- y. environmental commitments
- z. removal notes
- aa. finish grade elevations

9. Proposed Bridge General Plan and Elevation

- a. existing structure
- b. existing contours
- c. final structure
- d. final bridge header and riprap
- e. existing and final profile
- f. hydraulic information
- g. construction phasing
- h. Centerline Station
- i. vertical and horizontal clearance

10. Final Computed Earthwork and Mass Diagram

11. Detail Sheets (as required for discussion)

12. Survey Data Sheets including Utility Data Sheets

13. Cross Sections

- a. final toes
- b. existing utilities
- c. final driveways and notes
- d. final structures including storm sewers and notes
- e. final new right-of-way
- f. retaining walls (offset and earthwork)
- g. final detours

Process Instructions for PS&E Submittals

The completion of the PS&E (Plans, Specifications, and Estimate) Package ensures that all steps have been completed to allow a construction project to be processed for advertisement to interested contractors in order to receive bids that result in award of the project.

The Design Engineer or Project Manager submits the final construction plans to Office Services Division (OSD) for set-ups. OSD will check the plans to see that all sheets listed on the Title sheet are included in the plan set and that the requested standards are correct with the latest revision number. The listed standards will be included in the final plans by OSD. Prices are developed for the reproduced plans so that they may be purchased by contractors interested in developing a bid for the project.

Once the set-up process is completed, and a price has been determined and assigned to the plans, OSD will print two 11" x 17" copies of the plans and one copy of the accompanying submittal package (submittal letter, engineer's preliminary estimate, special provisions, certifications, permits, agreements, etc.) and deliver them to the Office Engineer Division as the project submittal. The plans and accompanying documents are considered as the PS&E package. Projects submitted through Project Wise should to be submitted one week prior to the 90-day or approved 60-day submittal date in order to provide OSD with adequate time to perform set-ups, printing, and delivery of the PS&E to Office Engineer Division. Design Engineers and Project Managers submitting hardcopies of their projects will need to coordinate their submittal so that the PS&E can be hand delivered to Office Engineer Division by the 90-day or approved 60-day submittal date.

Federally funded projects that have FHWA oversight occasionally require that the Office Engineer Division submit the entire PS&E package to the FHWA Oklahoma Division Office for review and approval. (FHWA documents its approval by issuing the PR-1240, which authorized the expenditure of Federal Aid construction funds for the project.) When requested by the Federal Highway Administration, the Office Engineer Division will prepare and transmit a transmittal cover letter from the ODOT Director of Transportation to the FHWA Division Administrator, two sets of 11"x17" plans signed by the Chief Engineer, two proposals, and two preliminary estimates to the FHWA Oklahoma Division Office.

INTRODUCTION – OFF SYSTEM PROJECTS

Projects that are developed on the non-State Highway System are administered by ODOT's Local Government Division. These projects are public infrastructure improvements for Local Public Agencies (LPA), combining various federal, state, tribal, and local funding resources.

The Project Development Process for the Off-System projects will closely align with the On-System Process as described on page 2. The areas that differentiate will be listed with a description of the variance.

Initiation

Projects are typically initiated by the LPA prior to programming. Local Government Division aids in scope development as required based on the project intent proposed by the LPA.

Plan Development

Perform Bridge Hydraulic Conference

Local Government Division does not normally require a formal conference. The design Engineer that is stamping the plans completes the hydraulic analysis and submits the hydraulic reports for the project files.

Preliminary Field Review

The field meeting (Plan-in-Hand) will normally involve a site visit. This meeting is a combination of the Preliminary Field Review and the Right-of-Way and Utility Meeting. Cross-Section elements are complete and any required Right-of-Way is shown on the plans.

Final Field Review

Review plans are submitted to Local Government Division for comments in preparation for Final Plan Submission.

Process Instructions Plan-in-Hand Field Review Meeting / Final Plan Review

The intent of these meetings is to ensure timely plan progression and minimize delays by providing communication opportunities at these key milestones in the process. Estimate updates will be performed at the time of these meetings which will provide the needed awareness to operate within a fiscally constrained budget.

The Plan-in-Hand Field Review Meeting is to take place early in the process so that any design concerns can be addressed and any modifications to the planned design can be made, thereby avoiding the possible re-work that would have been required if the plan development had progressed beyond this milestone.

The Final Plan Review provides for the opportunity to review near completed plans and make any modifications required for final submission for letting.

- I. The Plan-in-Hand Field Review Meeting will generally be held in the field. The Final Plan Review may consist of addressing review comments, a Central Office Meeting or a Field Review Meeting, depending on the requirements of the project. These meetings will be facilitated by the Local Government Division.
- II. Scheduling and formal notification of the field meetings will be provided by the Local Government Division. The Designer will provide Field Review plans to the Local Government Division for distribution to the stakeholders a minimum of two weeks in advance of the scheduled field meetings.
- III. The requirements as stated in the Plan-in-Hand Field Review Meeting guidelines, and the Final Plan Review Meeting guidelines will be utilized by ODOT staff and consultants. These meetings will not be held until all items are available.
- IV. The meeting agenda will be distributed at the time the meeting notifications are sent out or when the plans are distributed for review.
- V. A draft report of the meeting minutes will be provided to the Local Government Division Project Manager by the project consultant for review no later than two weeks subsequent to the meetings. Any corrections, additions or modifications to the draft meeting minutes are to be returned to the consultant with a notification copy to the Project Manager to ensure that any necessary changes are incorporated into the final document for distribution.
- VI. The meeting reports will be distributed by the Local Government Division Project Manager. The consultant will provide the final report that includes updated cost estimates and the resolution of unresolved issues to the Project Manager for distribution. Any resulting cost and/or schedule modification requests will be prepared by the Local Government Division Project Manager.

Plan-in-Hand Field Review Meeting Guidelines

Checklist and Agenda:

- check horizontal alignment
- check vertical alignment
- verify survey information (buildings, mailboxes, driveways)
- verify topography and Digital Terrain Model
- verify Project Scope
- discuss environmental draft document
- verify longitudinal location of bridges
- verify bridge hydraulic assumptions
- discuss detour locations
- identify right-of-way and utility needs
- identify environmental concerns
- discuss access control
- discuss construction sequencing
- discuss Design Safety Review
- discuss Project Schedule
- discuss cost estimate

Who should attend if applicable:

Bridge Division (complex bridge projects)

Consultants

Environmental Programs Division

FHWA (on Oversight projects)

Field Division

Local Government Division

Project Sponsor and/or their representative

Rail Programs Division

Right-of-Way & Utilities Division (Utilities Branch)

Roadway Design Division (complex roadway projects)

Survey Division (complex projects)

Traffic Engineering Division (complex traffic projects)

Number of Required Sets of Plans (only as applicable)

Fourteen sets of half size plans and one full size set (include cross sections) Distribution is as follows:

Bridge Division 2 half size sets
Environmental 1 half size set
FHWA (Oversight proj.) 1 half size set
Field Division 3 half size sets
Local Government Div. 1 half size set

Rail Programs Division R/W Div. (Util. Br.)	2 half size sets 1 half size set	1 full size set
Roadway Division	2 half size sets	i iuli size set
Traffic Division	1 half size set	

Plan-in-Hand Field Review Meeting Plans

Set forth are the minimum plan requirements for the Plan-in-Hand Field Review:

- **1.** Title (minus Index of Sheets and Standards)
- 2. Preliminary Typical Section (with assumed thickness)
- 3. Plan and Profile sheets
 - a. existing topography
 - b. existing right-of way limits from survey
 - c. existing access control from survey
 - d. existing utilities from survey
 - e. utility size and type from survey
 - f. existing grade
 - g. final grade
 - h. final superelevation
 - i. final top of cut/ toe of slope
 - j. existing drainage structures
 - k. preliminary bridge
 - I. final bridge hydraulic information
 - m. existing fencing
 - n. existing driveways
 - o. final driveway location, width and type with notes (verified at the meeting)
 - p. city corporate limits from survey
 - q. section, township and range from survey
 - r. final detour location with horizontal and vertical alignments
 - s. final horizontal alignment
 - t. new right-of-way
 - u. new access control
 - v. final structures including storm sewers with notes
 - w. new R/W fence requirements
 - x. plusses and distances to any potentially effected building
 - y. final location of retaining walls and sound walls
 - z. known environmental commitments
- **4.** Preliminary Bridge General Plan and Elevation
 - a. existing structure
 - b. existing contours

- c. proposed structure
- d. proposed bridge header and riprap
- e. existing and proposed profile
- f. hydraulic information
- g. preliminary construction phasing
- h. Centerline Station
- i. vertical and horizontal clearance
- **5.** Preliminary Estimate of Earth Work
- **6.** Survey Data Sheets including Utility Data Sheets
- 7. Preliminary Cross-Sections
 - a. final toes
 - b. existing utilities
 - c. final driveways and notes
 - d. final structures including storm sewers and notes
 - e. new right-of-way
 - f. retaining walls (offset and earthwork)
 - g. final detours

Final Plan Review Meeting Guidelines

Checklist and Agenda:

- provide stakeholders the opportunity to interject minor plan changes prior to PS&E submission
- verify that the agreed upon changes from previous meetings were met
- confirm that plans as produced still match site conditions (power lines, fences,)
- review/ verify construction sequence
- discuss constructability issues
- discuss traffic control issues
- review and verify pay items lists, quantities and notes from Roadway, Bridge and Traffic
- discuss erosion control
- verify environmental note requirements
- discuss cost estimate

Who is required to attend as applicable:

Bridge Division (complex bridge projects)

Consultants

Environmental Programs Division

FHWA (on Oversight projects)

Field Division

Local Government Division

Project Sponsor and/or their representative

Rail Programs Division

Roadway Design Division (complex roadway projects)

Survey Division (complex projects)

Traffic Engineering Division (complex traffic projects)

Number of Required Sets of Plans (only as applicable)

Eleven sets of Plans, six must include cross sections.

Distribution is as follows:

Bridge Division 2 half size sets (one set with cross sections)

Environmental Division 1 half size set FHWA (Oversight proj.) 1 half size set

Field Division 3 half size sets (with cross sections)

Local Government Div. 1 half size set (one set with cross sections)
Roadway Division 2 half size sets (one set with cross sections)

Traffic Division 1 half size set

Final Plan Review Plans

Set forth are the minimum plan requirements for the Final Plan Review Meeting.

- **1.** Title (with Preliminary Index of Sheets and Standards)
- 2. Final Typical Section
- 3. Pay Item List, Quantities and Notes
- 4. Environmental Mitigation Notes
- **5.** Sequence of Construction
- **6.** Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
- 7. Erosion Control Plan Sheet
- **8.** Plan and Profile sheets to include:
 - a. existing topography
 - b. existing right-of way limits from survey
 - c. existing access control from survey
 - d. existing utilities from survey
 - e. final utility ownerships, size and type
 - f. existing grade
 - g. final grade and finish grade elevations
 - h. final top of cut/ toe of slope
 - i. existing drainage structures
 - j. final bridge
 - k. final hydraulic information
 - existing fencing
 - m. existing driveways
 - n. final driveway location width and type with notes
 - o. city corporate limits from survey
 - p. section, township and range from survey
 - q. final detour location with horizontal and vertical
 - r. final horizontal and vertical alignment
 - s. final new Right-of-Way
 - t. final new access control
 - u. final structures including storm sewers with notes
 - v. new R/W fence requirements
 - w. plusses and distances to any potentially effected building
 - x. location and final design of retaining walls and sound walls
 - y. final environmental commitments
 - z. removal notes

- **9.** Proposed Bridge General Plan and Elevation
 - a. existing structure
 - b. existing contours
 - c. final structure
 - d. final bridge header and riprap
 - e. existing and final profile
 - f. hydraulic information
 - g. final construction phasing
 - h. Centerline Station
 - i. vertical and horizontal clearance
- **10.** Final Computed Earthwork and Mass Diagram
- **11.** Detail Sheets (as required for discussion)
- **12.** Survey Data Sheets including Utility Data Sheets
- 13. Cross Sections
 - a. proposed toes
 - b. existing utilities
 - c. final driveways and notes
 - d. final structures including storm sewers and notes
 - e. final new right-of-way
 - f. retaining walls (offset and earthwork)
 - g. final detours

APPENDIX 1

То	Office Engineer Div	vision		Date							
From	Project Engineer/Project Manager		Submitting Division	<u> </u>							
Subject	County & Route	Project Number		JP No.							
Scheduled Description	Letting on (e.g. Grade, Drain, Surface)		ay 60 Day (as approved) gency (as approved)	☐ Non-Federal Aid ☐ Federal Aid / <u>Not on</u> FHWA PODI list							
Road Clos	sure (check one)? □Yes □ No	☐ Federal Aid / <u>On</u> FHWA PODI list									
Environmental Clearance: CE EA Date of Evaluation/Re-evaluation											
404 Perm Railroad NPDES-1 Other Per Agreemen	☐ Attached ☐ NOI ☐ Attached ☐ Normits/ (see instructions)			t Applicable							
Right-of-Way Certification Type □ C1 □ C2 □ C3 Date □ Not Applicable											
Utilities	Utilities Clear ☐ Yes	□ No If "No", ex	pected out date:								
Contract	Time <i>or</i>	Complete by Date		Estimate \$							
Flex Start	t ☐ Yes (number of months)	"No", Why not?								
Extension	Extension of Notice to Proceed (Traffic Projects) 90 Day 120 Day N/A										
	Delayed Work Order □ No □ Yes If "Yes", reason for delay?										
_	rovisions - The following <i>job spec</i> heet (e.g. A+B Bidding, Lane Ren		_	special provisions listed on the							
	List Tied Jobs (if applicable). Please circle <i>Optional</i> or <i>Mandatory</i>										
County	Proje	ct Number		JP No.							

Office Engineer Use Only (Revised January 29, 2019)

APPENDIX 1

INSTRUCTIONS ON FILLING OUT PROJECT SUBMISSION LETTER:

<u>Date</u> - Indicate the month, day, and year the project is submitted.

<u>Project Engineer/Project Manager</u> - Fill in the name of the individual responsible for the overall submittal of the project. This must be an ODOT employee. Submission by Consultants will not be accepted. This could be the lead engineer, the project manager, or the principal designer, but this will be the person first called should there be a problem with the PS&E submittal.

<u>Submitting Division</u> - Fill in the name of the Division where the individual responsible for the overall submittal of the project works (e.g. Bridge, Roadway, Traffic, Local Government, Maintenance, etc.)

Route & County - Fill in the highway number and county in which the construction of the project occurs. In the case of multiple routes along the same corridor adhere to the following hierarchy in ascending numerical order: Interstate, U.S. Highways, State Highways, Local Roads.

Project Number - Fill in the project number listed on the title sheet of the plans. If the project is mandatorily tied, list only the lead project.

JP No. - Fill in the JP number listed on the title sheet of the plans.

Scheduled Letting - Fill in the month and year that the project is to be bid.

<u>Submission</u> - The standard project submission is 90 days before its scheduled letting. Any projects turned in after the 90 day period are considered late and must be cleared with Office Engineer prior to submitting the project. Projects which are not approved for 60 day submittal will not be accepted as such and will need to slide to a future letting. Emergency projects are only those deemed as such by Senior Staff members.

Federal Participation

Indicate the type of federal participation (if any) associated with the project:

- Non-Federal Aid no federal funds are being used to fund the project.
- Federal Aid/Not on FHWA PODI list federal funds are being used on some or all of the funding for the project, but the project is not on the FHWA Project of Division Interest (PODI) list.
- Federal Aid/On FHWA PODI list federal money is being used on some or all of the funding for the project, and the project is on the FHWA PODI list.

<u>Description</u> - Briefly list the categories of construction for the project (e.g. Grade, Drain, Surface & Bridge, Traffic Signal and Intersection Modification, etc.). Description of work type should match what is shown on the Title Sheet of the plans.

<u>Location of Project</u> - Describe in detail where the project is located. In listing the location, the project's beginning and ending should be clearly identifiable on an Oklahoma state map (e.g. US-270 from US-412 in Elmwood, extending north 2.65 miles).

Environmental Clearance - If applicable, include the project's environmental clearance document from the ODOT Environmental Programs Division with the submission. Indicate on the submission letter the category of the clearance granted (CE or EA) and give the date of clearance. If the environmental document has been re-evaluated please list the re-evaluation date also. This information can be obtained on the IMS or from Environmental Programs Division.

404 Permit (also known as the Corp. Of Engineers Permit) - Multiple 404 Permits may exist for one project (e.g. one independent permit for a bridge and one independent permit for a separate RCB structure). Include all 404 Permits applicable to the project.

Railroad Agreement - Include copies of all railroad agreements associated with the project. Contact Rail Programs Division for assistance.

NOI (Notice of Intent) - Any project with one acre or more of ground disturbing activities must have a DEQ Notice of Intent. If applicable, include this notice with the submittal. Any NPDES provisions incorporated in the plans (i.e. Stormwater Pollution Prevention (SWPPP) plan sheets) should be reviewed by the ODOT Stormwater Manager in Environmental Programs Division.

<u>Other Permits/Agreements</u> - Some infrequently used permits/agreements that are encountered in highway construction include Coast Guard, Tribal Agreements, FAA Notices (FAA), Hazardous Waste, Oklahoma Water Resources Board (OWRB), and Municipal Agreements.

- Coast Guard Permits are required to construct or modify a bridge or causeway over a U.S. navigable waterway. The legal definition of navigable waterway is defined in 33 CFR 2.05-25.
- Oklahoma Water Resources Board (OWRB) If it is determined that an Oklahoma Water Resources Board Permit is required, include a copy of the permit with the submittal. Copies of the letter stating the permit is not required do not need to be submitted with the project.
- FAA Notice (Airports) The Contractor may be required to file FAA Form 7460 if any equipment used in construction (such as a temporary crane) exceeds the height of an imaginary surface extending outward and upward at 100:1 from the nearest point of the nearest runway. Request special provision 107-12, Federal Aviation Regulations, from Office Engineer Division. Complete the provision by filling in the required information, and return it with your project submittal. See FAA Form 7460-1, Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration, for further guidance.

<u>Right-of-Way</u> - With the exception of right-of-way clearance contracts, every federally funded project must have a right-of-way certification regardless of whether or not there is any additional right-of-way acquired. The FHWA will not authorize the use of federal funds for construction without a right-of-way certification. Since federal funds may be used in any phase of a project, Right-of-Way & Utilities Division usually supplies a right-of-way certification on most projects regardless of funding source for construction. Indicate on the submission letter the type of certification granted (e.g. C1, C2, or C3), and give the date of clearance. Contact Right-of-Way & Utilities Division for assistance.

<u>Utilities</u> - Projects without right-of-way acquisition may still have utility relocation issues. If applicable, include the project's Utility Relocation Information letter from the ODOT Right-of-Way Division's Utilities Branch. Indicate the status of the utilities being relocated. Contact Right-of-Way Division for assistance.

<u>Contract Time or Complete by Date</u> - Indicate the number of days determined to complete the construction of the project, or indicate the date that the construction needs to be completed (but not both). The designer should complete a construction CPM or other approved method to determine contract time. Contact Phil Loafman, Project Scheduling Coordinator, for assistance.

<u>Flex Start</u> - Office Engineer typically sets a standard flex start date of about three months from the award date. The Contractor has the "flexibility" to begin construction any time after the Notice to Proceed, but before the flex start date. If you want something other than the standard flex start date, or you don't want a flex start date at all, please make note of such on the submission letter.

Extension of Notice to Proceed - This extension is typically only used with signal projects. By extending the Notice to Proceed date, it allows the Contractor to either wait to begin construction, or suspend contract time when it is necessary to delay the project to allow for the fabrication of manufactured traffic control items. When applicable, extension time should be either 90 days or 120 days and should be indicated on the form.

<u>Delayed Work Order</u> - A delayed work order allows the project to be let, but prevents the Contractor from beginning construction until a specified time, normally within two to three months of the award. If the work order needs to be delayed more than three months you should really consider programming the project for a later letting.

Estimate - Provide the estimate as generated by the Proposal and Estimates System (PES). This is the total amount including all parts of the project but does not include the 6% E & C.

Special Provisions - A list of the general special provisions can be viewed and downloaded from the intranet. Special provisions required for your project should be indicated on this list and attached to your submission letter. *Please use the latest list of general special provisions provided on the intranet.* If your project requires job specific special provisions that are not shown on the list, then give their description here. Unless you have hand-written a new special provision or modified an existing provision, please do not submit copies of the job specific special provisions since Office Engineer Division has no way of determining if what you submit is the latest version. Asking for it on the submittal letter will suffice.

<u>Tied Jobs</u> - Please list all tied jobs and indicate whether they are to be mandatorily tied or optionally tied. Tied projects need to be submitted at the same time. If they are mandatorily tied, please make the necessary adjustments to the pay items, such as carrying *Mobilization* or *Field Office* on only one of the projects.

REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETINGS FOR THE LETTING PROCESS

Pre-Advertising Meeting

Purpose: The purpose of this meeting is to review the projects on the short form for the upcoming letting and identify projects which have problems with FHWA authorization, R/W acquisition or utility relocation, environmental issues (e.g. 404 permits, migratory birds, American Burying Beetle, etc.), or funding issues which would lead to sliding the project from the letting. As part of this meeting we will also discuss which projects include A+B bidding, as well as take a cursory look at DBE goals and contract time.

Conducted by: Office Engineer

Attendees: Office Engineer, Director of Operations, and representatives from R/W Utilities Branch, R/W Project Management, Project Management Division, Local Government Division, Civil Rights, Environmental Branch, Construction Division, and Federal Highway Administration.

Schedule: Typically held Tuesday prior to FHWA authorization deadline or 4 weeks and 2 days prior to Bid Opening.

Pre-Bid Meeting

Purpose: To answer prospective bidders' questions concerning projects on the upcoming letting and pass along relative project information.

Conducted by: Office Engineer

Attendees: Office Engineer Division and Construction Division. All designers with projects on the letting should attend. All Field Divisions should be represented. Any contractors with interest in that month's projects should attend.

Schedule: 13 days prior to Bid Opening

Pre-Award Meeting

Purpose: The purpose of this meeting is to review the Office Engineer's recommendations for award or rejection of bids based upon the outcome of the bid analysis, and to gain concurrence of the Chief Engineer and Director of Operations for these recommendations prior to presentation to the Transportation Commission.

Conducted by: Office Engineer

Attendees: Office Engineer, Chief Engineer, Director of Operations, State Construction Engineer, FHWA rep (if they choose to attend).

Schedule: Conducted the Wednesday before the regularly scheduled Commission

Meeting.

Bid Opening

Purpose: Public opening and reading of bids.

Conducted by: Office Engineer

Attendees: Office Engineer Division, AGC representatives, and interested ODOT staff, contractors, consultants, and members of the general public.

Schedule: Regular Bid Openings are typically the 3rd Thursday of each month. Special Bid Openings are scheduled as need arises.

Note: Bids are submitted electronically. Therefore, a bid opening occurs by downloading and publishing all responsive bids to the ODOT website. However, the Office Engineer reserves the authority to publicly open and read bids aloud as deemed necessary.

Pre-Commission/Subcommittee on Operations & Administration

Purpose: Explain the Operations agenda items in more detail to the half of the Commission charged with reviewing these. Questions which the Commission may have on agenda items are answered at that time. Office Engineer presents the details on the proposed actions from all previous bid openings. Construction Division presents all change orders.

Conducted by: Chairman of the Subcommittee

Attendees: Half the Transportation Commissioners, ODOT Executive Director, Chief Engineer, Director of Operations, Construction Engineer, Field DE's, Office Engineer, OR&E, Assistant Roadway Design Engineer, Assistant Bridge Engineer, other interested parties.

Schedule: Typically 9:30 a.m. on the day of the Commission Meeting.

Commission Meeting

Purpose: Agenda items for ODOT business which requires Commission approval are formally presented to the Commission.

Conducted by: Commission Chairman

Attendees: Transportation Commission, Secretary of Transportation, ODOT Executive Director, Senior Staff, presenters, interested members of ODOT or our affiliates, members of the press, general public.

Schedule: 11:00 a.m. on the first Monday of the month.

REVISION DISTRIBUTION BY DEPARTMENT

AFTER SUBMISSION AND BEFORE JOB IS LET

SEND TO	#	SIZE	REMARKS
	COPIES		
FHWA	2	50 %	WITH ROUTE SLIP
OFFICE ENGINEER	2	50 %& PDF	PROJECT WISE
CONSTRUCTION	1	50 %	WITH ROUTE SLIP
SQUAD	1	50 %	INSERT IN SQUAD FILE COPY
PROJ ENGR	3	50 %	TO PROJ ENGR FOR FILES

AFTER JOB IS LET AND CONTRACT IS AWARDED

SEND TO	COPIES	SIZE	REMARKS
RESIDENCY	2	100 %	WITH ORIGINAL LETTER
	2	50 %	
CONTRACTOR	2	100 %	WITH COPY OF LETTER
	2	50 %	
FHWA *	2	50 %	WITH COPY OF LETTER
DIVISION	1	100 %	WITH COPY OF LETTER
	1	50 %	
CONSTRUCTION	1	50 %	WITH COPY OF LETTER
RECORDS (DAVID OOTEN - TSD	1	50 %	STAPLE IN FILE COPY
OFFICE)			
SQUAD	1	50 %	INSERT IN SQUAD FILE COPY
PROJ. ENGR	1	50 %	TO PROJ ENGR FOR FILES

 $\bullet \;\;$ DO NOT SEND COPIES TO FHWA FOR CB , CBC JOINTS AND SBR PROJECTS

REVISED 1-31-11



TO:

DATE PREPARED: 6/2/2011

Circuit Engineering District (CED#) 1

Oklahoma Department of Transportation County Improvements for Roads and Bridges (CIRB) Program Project Request Form (v. 3 09.01.06)

FROM: Originating	g County and District Ni	ımber – , Dist. I		
SUBJECT: Request for	or CIRB Program Proj	ect Consideration		
Job Piece No.:	TBD	ODOT Division:		ı
County:		County Road Des	signation:	
Proposed Letting Date:		Current Total Est	imate:	
Project Justification / Desc	cription: (Attach County	/ Location Map)		
FUNCTIONAL CLASS Area Type:	Urban	☐ Suburban		Rural
Road Classification:	Principal Arterial	Minor Arterial		Collector (Major / Minor)
Terrain Type:	Level	Rolling		
EXISTING INFORMAT	ΓΙΟΝ (Attach Photogra		ation)	
Current ADT:		% of Trucks:		
Number of Lanes:		Lane Width:		
Outside Shoulder Width:		Inside Shoulder Width:	- · .·	
Open Section	Curb & Gu		Existing R	ight-of-Way width:
	ical section notes (descr	·		
Surface Type:		Surface Condition:	Good	Fair Door
Shoulder Type:		Shoulder Condition:	Good	Fair Poor
Storm Sewer:		Storm Sewer Condition	Good	☐ Fair ☐ Poor
Drainage Structures:	Number of Cross Drain	ns Nu	mber of Sic	le Drains
Sidewalks:	☐ No ☐ Yes	Sidewalk Width:	_	

Bridge A Descri	ption:				
NBI Number:			Sufficiency Rating:		
Bridge Width:		Bridge Length:	- Cameroney Ramings	Posted Load Limit:	
Special Conside	erations:				
Bridge B Descri	ption:				
			10.411.		
NBI Number:		15	Sufficiency Rating:		
Bridge Width:		Bridge Length:		Posted Load Limit:	
Special Conside	erations:				
Bridge C Descri	ntion:				
Bridge C Descri	ption.				
NBI Number:			Sufficiency Rating:		
Bridge Width:		Bridge Length:		Posted Load Limit:	
Special Conside	erations:	-	1		
PERMIT INFO	ORMATION				
Environmental I	Document: OD	OOT County	Consultant Es	timated Completion Date:	
Special Conside	erations:				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		V +	
Design Exception	- I -		uired by design	Yes Type:	
	tal Agreements Rec		□ No □	Yes Type:	
Permit(s) Requi	red: No	Yes	Type(s):		

PROPOSED SCOPE

Proposed Project Termini Desc	cription:		
Beginning of Project:			
End of Project: Limits of Survey:			
Limits of Survey.			
Proposed Typical Section Des	cription:		
Number of Lanes:		Lane Width:	
Outside Shoulder Width:		Inside Shoulder W	idth:
Open Section	Curb & Gutter	Right-of-Way, F	Proposed width:
Other or additional typical s	section notes (describe):		
			T.
Pavement Type:	□ Vas Thickness:	Shoulder Type:	No. Thickness
Overlay: No	Yes Thickness:	Coldmill:	No Yes Thickness:
Storm Sewer: No	Yes Sidewalks:	No Yes	Sidewalk Width:
Proposed Bridge A Description	n·		
Troposed Bridge A Description	111.		
Bridge Width:	Bridge Leng	th:	Approach Length:
December 1 Driller D. December 1			
Proposed Bridge B Description	n:		
Bridge Width:	Bridge Le	ngth:	Approach Length:
	·	·	
Proposed Bridge C Description	n:		
Bridge Width:	Bridge Le	nath:	Approach Length:
	2.1.1.90 20	9	r ipproach Longin
Proposed Drainage Structures	Description:		
CGSP Number	RCP, Num	her	Roadway Size RCB, Number
CGSP, Number		neı	☐ Noadway Size NOD, Nullibel

Proposed Detour Descrip	otion:				
None	Road clo	osure	Complete under tra	ffic Sh	ioo-fly
Proposed Traffic Items D	escription:				
New Signing:	No	Yes	New Striping:	No	Yes
New Guardrail:	□ No	Yes	End Treatments:	□ No	Yes
Traffic Signals:	☐ No	Yes	Location(s):		
Proposed Right-of-Way / Additional R/W:	☐ No	☐ Yes	Describe:		
Utility Conflicts:	☐ No	Yes	Describe:		
Miscellaneous / Other Re	equirements (Cn	annei Ke-alignme	nt, Wetland Mitigation,	etc.):	

SCOPING ESTIMATE

Category Description	Estimated Cost	Comments
Environmental Clearance	\$	
ROW / Utility Coordination	\$	
Design Engineering	\$	
Construction Engineering / Inspection	\$	
Sub Total Project Services	\$	
Right-of-Way Acquisition	\$	
Utility Relocation	\$	
Sub Total RW / UT	\$	
Roadway	\$	
Bridge	\$	
Drainage Structures	\$	
Erosion Control	\$	
Traffic Control	\$	
Signing and Striping	\$	
Traffic Signals	\$	
Mobilization	\$	
Staking	\$	
Sub Total Construction	\$	
Miscellaneous / Contingencies	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
	\$	
Total Project Estimate	\$	
CIRB Funding Request	\$	
Other Funding Participation	\$	

AT	TACHMENT LIST (Check as applicable):
	County / Location Map
	Aerial Photography
	Photographic Project Documentation
	Accident Data Sheets
	Certified Traffic Counts
	Bridge Inspection Reports
	Plans
	Other (Describe):

Local Government NEPA Study Checklist Introduction The purpose of this checklist is to ensure that a consistent level of information is provided to the Environmental Programs Division for the initiation of NEPA studies. This is intended to expedite the field review of proposed projects, minimize requests for additional information, and reduce the incidence of repeated field investigations or follow-up interagency consultation. Study requests which do not contain ALL the information or attachments identified on this checklist will be returned, with an explanation of what is needed before NEPA clearance can begin. The effective start date for the NEPA process is when all required information has been received by the Environmental Programs Division. Please submit the completed form with study footprint/plans and other supporting documents to the Assistant Division Engineer, Environmental Programs Division through Local Government Division. THIS INFORMATION NEEDS TO BE SUBMITTED FOR ALL PROJECTS REGARDLESS OF WHETHER NEPA IS BEING DONE IN-HOUSE OR BY A CONSULTANT HIRED BY THE CITY/COUNTY. **I. Project Information** The following information must be present: Federal Aid Project No: State Job Piece No: County Road No. or City Street Name: County(ies): Project Description from JPINFO: Anticipated Let Date: II. Funding Information This information is needed to determine if the project needs NEPA. If the project currently has federal funds or has potential for federal funds in the future, a full NEPA document is needed. If the project requires a 404 permit only and the project is being let through ODOT, only the cultural resources, biological and hazardous waste studies will be done and no NEPA document will be prepared. If the project is let by County or City, the County/City will be responsible for the studies. The Project currently has federal funds The Project has potential for future federal funds The Project does not have federal funds but will require a 404 permit and will be let by ODOT II. Description of Existing facility (Roadway) If a roadway project, project description or plans must include the following: Existing No lanes Shoulders/type (Sod, Paved, etc) Existing sidewalks (Circle applicable ones) YES/NO LT/RT/BOTHSIDES Functional classification Traffic: Present ADT Future ADT (20 year Projection) vpd vpd III. Description of Existing facility (Bridge) If a bridge project, project description or plans must include the following: NBIS No.: Location No.: Existing Bridge Width: Name of water body or facility crossed (RR, etc): The bridge is currently (Check all applicable ones) Load Posted Open to Traffic Closed to Traffic IV Purpose & Need for the Project The reason why the project is needed (Check all that apply) Infrastructure Deficiency (Structurally deficient bridge, Poor Pavement, etc.) Geometric Deficiency (Functionally obsolete or narrow bridge, Inadequate vertical clearance, Narrow

shoulders, sidewalks not meeting ADA requirements, etc.) Safety (Provide accident data and describe the feature contributing to accidents)

Effective 9/15/09

REV.9/9/09

APPENDIX 5
Inadequate Capacity (Traffic volume exceeds existing capacity)
Legislative Mandate (Provide copy of the mandate)
Other (Economic development, Connectivity, Emergency Repair, etc. Describe).
For ER funded projects, please provide the description of event which qualified the project for ER funds:
<u>V Proposed Work</u> The following must be indicated (Check all that apply)
Roadway Capacity Expansion(from 2 lanes to 4 or 6 lanes)
New or offset alignment
Add/improve shoulders
Overlay/Pavement repair
Vertical/horizontal curve corrections
<u> </u> Signalization
Auxiliary/Turn lanes
Sidewalks
Bridge replacement.
☐ Bridge rehabilitation
Uther (Describe)
VI. Description of Proposed Improvement Work
Proposed Typical
No of Lanes Width of Driving Lanes (Paul Croud Croud etc.)
Width of Driving Lanes ft Type of Driving Surface (Paved, Gravel, etc.) Width of Shoulder ft Type of Shoulder (Paved, Gravel, Sod, etc.)
Proposed Bridge
Proposed Structure (Span, RCB, etc.) Proposed Bridge Width ft
Project Description
PROVIDE A BRIEF DECRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED WORK (eg. Adding shoulders & turn lanes from
Sec Line EW 65 to EW 66 on NS 467, Bridge replacement on EW 67 over Coon Creek, Reconstruction of
Rock Creek Road from 2 to 4lane section from 24 th Street to 36 th Street, etc.)
VII. Type of Detour The following must be indicated (Check one)
None, road closed
Road closed, traffic detoured on existing roads
Shoofly requiring permanent or temporary new R/W (INDICATE WHETHER SHOOFLY IS LOCATED
EAST/WEST/NORTH/SOUTH of Existing Bridge)
☐ Keep existing facility open (INDICATE WHETHER OFFSET IS TO EAST/WEST/NORTH/SOUTH of
EXISTING ALIGNMENT)
VIII. Location Map
PROVIDE A LOCATION MAP. It can be a Section of County Map or Plan cover sheet, or equivalent
showing location of project with respect to identified county roads, towns, features, and legal locations
(township, range, sections)
IX. Detailed Project Footprint Map (Can be one of the following):
Set of preliminary or P-I-H plans – Include Title, Typical, Plan & Profile sheets, General Bridge Plan
sheet, and Cross Sections. Plans should show existing facility and proposed improvements, existing and
proposed new R/W, and any temporary R/W expected for shoofly detours or channel work. OR
Preliminary study area based on reasonable estimate of proposed/anticipated new/temporary R/W and
the proposed typical section including any sidewalks and bridge width.
The project study footprint should be <u>drawn to scale</u> on a suitably enlarged USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle or a
an excellent-quality aerial photograph with a minimum 400:1 scale. Scale and quality must be adequate to
1 7 1 2 1

Effective 9/15/09 REV.9/9/09

allow field personnel to easily correlate the project area to existing facility, adjacent landforms and structures. For some issues, preliminary design/R/W plans will be necessary to determine effects and will be requested as soon as this becomes apparent.

 X. Ground Disturbance, Right of Way & Relocations/Structure removals (check all applicable ones) Involves ground disturbance outside of existing pavement. New/temporary R/W required
Project will require relocations/demolitions of adjacent buildings (show on attached map or plans) Project will not require relocations/demolitions
XI. Public Involvement (attach required correspondence(s)) For ALL projects with new permanent or temporary R/W, attach a letter from the County Commissioners or the City that all property owners in the study area have been notified of the proposed project and are aware that ODOT specialists will be accessing their property to perform follow up studies. For URBAN capacity expansion projects which would normally require a public meeting or notice, attach a letter indicating the Local Authority has already, or intends to, conduct a public involvement program.
XII. Noise (check if project is in an urban/suburban area and involves capacity expansion) Design Traffic Data which includes the current and projected future AADT, K (DHV/AADT-two way), T (% medium trucks of DHV), T (% medium trucks of AADT), T3 (% heavy trucks of AADT) and design speed.
 XIII. Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties None known in area Yes. If any known public parks, recreation areas, and wildlife/waterfowl refuges are present, show on attached quads or aerials
XIV. Historic Bridges Is the bridge listed as historic (NRHP eligible) in the 2007 Spans of Time (Can be found at http://www.okladot.state.ok.us/hqdiv/p-r-div/spansoftime/pdfs/survey-phase1.pdf)? No Yes. If yes, provide the information required to start the Section 4(f) process as per the requirements listed in the Section 4(f) memo from ODOT Cultural Resource Specialist (<i>Please check with Environmental Programs Division for the latest version</i>).
XV. Traffic Impact or Alternate Studies Attach available traffic impact studies for urban capacity expansion projects. Attach available alternative analysis for projects on new alignments.
XVI. For urban projects The project is located within the ACOG, INCOG or Lawton Metropolitan Area The project is included in the Long Range Plan for the City or ACOG/INCOG/Lawton Metropolitan Area The project is included in the TIP for the ACOG/INCOG/Lawton Metropolitan Area The project is NOT located within the ACOG, INCOG or Lawton Metropolitan Area
XVII. For projects with existing or proposed sidewalks The project is located on the City's Master Plan for Pedestrian trails Other needs for sidewalk (such as school, parks, Transit stops, etc. Describe)
FNVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CANNOT RECINITION IN ALL NECESSARY INFORMATION IS

Effective 9/15/09 REV.9/9/09

PROVIDED.

Instructions and Guidance for the Regulatory Provisions Checklist for Non-FHWA Funded Projects

Projects which are State or County funded and not funded with any Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funds, or involve any FHWA approvals do not require a NEPA document approved by FHWA. However, there are numerous State and Federal laws and permits that may apply. The permits and provisions that may be stipulated as part of the environmental review are part of the oversight required for ODOT to follow during the construction phase of the projects. If applicable, these permits and provisions are required before ODOT can place a project on a bid letting, and are obligations of any project sponsor regardless of the letting or contracting process used. In order to assist the Counties in completion of the Checklist for Environmental review, the following information and guidance is provided on the standard environmental reviews and permits required for environmental compliance on transportation projects.

1. A Section 404 Clean Water Act Permit is required from the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for any projects involving temporary or permanent fill in jurisdictional waters or wetlands (http://www.swt.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx). A 401 water quality certification is required from Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to accompany the 404 Permit. There are 3 types of permits, Nationwide Permits, General Permits (for which standard 401 Certifications have been issued by DEQ), and Individual Permits, which require a separate 401 certification from DEQ. A professional trained in wetlands delineation should review the project to determine if any wetlands will be impacted. The project's design engineer can review the USGS 7.5 minute Quadrangle map for "blue line" streams, review the project locale for bed and bank indicators of an Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM), and review the project design for excavation, and/or fill, both permanent (like piers, rip rap, or RCB's) and temporary (like contractor work roads) to determine if a 404 permit and the required support studies are necessary.

In order to be covered by and compliant with a 404 permit, a cultural resources professional who meets or exceeds the Secretary of Interior Standards for archeology or architectural history as defined in 36 CFR 61 (http://www.nps.gov/history/local-law/arch_stnds_9.htm) must review the project to determine if there is an effect on any properties eligible for or listed on the Nation Register of Historic Places. If so, a preconstruction notice and cultural resources documentation must be sent to the US Army Corps of Engineers Tulsa District for their use to complete the USACE's Section 106 Consultation Requirement.

Additionally, the USACE must be notified through a preconstruction notice if any listed species or its designated critical habitat might be affected or is in the vicinity of the project. Information regarding this project review process is listed next.

2. The procedures and process to conduct a review of a project's potential impacts on federally listed threatened and endangered species can be found at http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/oklahoma/OKESFO%20Permit%20Home.htm. Follow

the project review steps for "Projects without a Federal Nexus".

- (A) If your ESA section 7 determination for any species is "may affect, likely to adversely affect" or "not likely to adversely affect/modify" or for critical habitat is "likely to adversely modify," submit the project review package to USFWS for review under Section 9 of the ESA. Complete and print the online project review request (PDF) and submit along with your entire project review package.
- B) If your ESA section 7 determination for each species and critical habitat is "no effect," you may print off the project review package to include in your files for compliance under Section 9 of the ESA. No further coordination with USFWS is necessary.

Note: If a 404 permit is required for the project, this is a Federal Nexus. If the Project Review meets the conditions under (A), a Preconstruction Notice and this project review documentation must be sent to the US Army Corps of Engineers Tulsa District for their use to complete the USACE's Section 7 Consultation Requirement under the Endangered Species Act.

- 3. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) prohibits any actions which disturb/agitate an eagle to the degree that causes or is likely to (1) cause injury, (2) interfere with breeding, feeding or sheltering behavior, or (3) nest abandonment. It is recommended that a professional wildlife biologist to review the proposed action and action area to ensure that the action will not violate the BGEPA. Generally, activities farther than 660 feet from a nest are not restricted. More information on the BEGPA can be found at http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/BaldEagle.htm.
- 4. The Migratory Bird Treaty Act prohibits the taking, killing, possession, transportation, and importation of migratory birds, their eggs, parts, and nests except as authorized under a valid permit (50 CFR 21.11). Cliff and Barn Swallows frequently nest on transportation structures. It is recommended that a professional wildlife biologist to review the proposed action and action area to ensure that the action will not violate the MBTA, or the project is planned in such a way to avoid the active nesting season of the swallows. This is typically achieved through plan notes and scheduling. More information on the MBTA can be found at http://www.fws.gov/laws/lawsdigest/migtrea.html.
- 5. To manage environmental risk and liability associated with industrial or hazardous wastes, and to protect public health and workers' health and safety, an Initial Site Assessment, which consists of an environmental database search, along with a field visit to verify the conditions is recommended. The work generally consists of the following:
 - A. Identification of known or potential hazardous waste sites located in the proximity of the study area (using ASTM E1527-05 radius guidelines): This consists of a database search of both the federal and state environmental records.
 - B. Identification of Above Ground Storage Tanks (AST), Underground Storage Tanks (UST), Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST) Sites and oil wells

located within 1/8th of a mile of the study area: This consists of a file review from the Oklahoma Corporation Commission (contact the appropriate District Office) for any past or present Oil and Gas activity – including salt water disposal. This includes any information regarding the location of drilled wells, records of completion and plugging, field inspection reports, reported leaks, spills or violations of any kind.

- C. Identification of any current and abandoned coal mines within the study area. This information can be found from historic aerial photos and topographical maps.
- D. Field visit to verify and supplement the above information.

Findings from this assessment may include avoidance plan notes, or identification of a known environmental concern that needs to be properly managed prior to or during construction.

6. Archeological sites and unmarked human burial sites are protected by the Oklahoma Antiquities Law and the Burial Desecration Law. Even if all the work is done within the existing right-of-way, there is potential for archeological sites or burial sites within existing right-of-way. To ensure compliance with these State Laws, a file search is recommended to identify potential archeological sites, cemeteries, and other historic properties within or immediately adjacent to the project area.

The County can request the following cultural resource information located within the study area from ODOT Cultural Resources Program. The request should include the location map, and an aerial or USGS topographic map with the study limits on a 1"=400' scale. The County should allow 30 days to obtain the information for projects less than 1 mile long and 60 days for longer projects. The information includes:

- A. Archaeological Sites
 - a) Prehistoric and historic archaeological sites recorded with the Office of the Oklahoma Archaeological Survey (OAS).
 - b) Early historic buildings or other resources identified on Government Land Office (GLO) maps
 - c) Other previously identified cultural resource site.
- B. Historic Cemeteries
- C. National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) properties Buildings, structures, or districts that have been determined eligible or formally listed on the NRHP that are within or immediately adjacent to the study limits.

Note: All historic properties identified during this process shall be shown on study maps for internal use only. The public disclosure of the location of some types of historic properties is a violation of Federal laws and regulations.

- 7. Projects on or adjacent to Oklahoma's Scenic Rivers must be coordinated with Oklahoma Scenic Rivers Commission (OSRC), as specified in Title 82 §1452. This includes the following rivers:
 - a. Flint Creek and the Illinois River above the confluence of the Barren Fork Creek in Cherokee, Adair and Delaware Counties;
 - b. Barren Fork Creek in Adair and Cherokee Counties from the present alignment of Highway 59 West to the Illinois River;
 - c. Upper Mountain Fork River above the 600-foot elevation level of Broken Bow Reservoir in McCurtain and LeFlore Counties, and portions of
 - d. Big Lee's Creek, sometimes referred to as Lee Creek, located in Sequoyah County, above the 420-foot MSL elevation, excluding that portion necessary for a dam to be built in the State of Arkansas with a crest elevation of no more than the 420-foot MSL elevation; and
 - e. Little Lee's Creek, sometimes referred to as Little Lee Creek, located in Adair and Sequoyah Counties, beginning approximately four (4) miles east-southeast of Stilwell, Oklahoma, and ending at its conjunction with Big Lee's Creek approximately two (2) miles southwest of Short, Oklahoma.

Comments from OSRC and the County's response to the OSRC comments should be included in the project record.

8. A project that disturbs one acre or more requires an Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) GENERAL PERMIT OKR10 - FOR STORM WATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA available at

http://www.deq.state.ok.us/wqdnew/stormwater/OKR10Permit_2012_final%20Review_August.pdf . Please consult the general permit for specific requirements.

This permit also has special requirements for discharges into impaired waters and any Oklahoma Sensitive Waters and Watersheds Harboring Endangered and Threatened Species and Their Critical Habitat of Concern.

The following procedure is used to identify the impaired waters and sensitive waters.

A. Identification of any Oklahoma's 2010 303(d) list of impaired waters This information can be obtained from the Flex Viewer map located in the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality's Website at http://www.deq.state.ok.us/mainlinks/gis/index.html

B. Identification of any Oklahoma Sensitive Waters and Watersheds Harboring Endangered and Threatened Species and Their Critical Habitat of Concern required for the Storm Water Permit Conditions.

This information can also be obtained from Appendix A of the GENERAL PERMIT OKR10 - FOR STORM WATER DISCHARGES FROM CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA available at http://www.deq.state.ok.us/wqdnew/stormwater/OKR10Permit_2012_final%20Revie w August.pdf

- 9. The County will need to identify if there are any Airport/Airfield located within 4 miles of this project. If so, the proposed action may require notifying the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) of proposed construction via FAA Form 7460-1 prior to construction. If an FAA Permit is required, it must be included in the PS&E submittal to Office Engineers.
- 10. For projects that cross Traditionally Navigable Waters, a Section 10 Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA) permit is required from the Tulsa District Corps of Engineers. For more information see:
 http://www.usace.army.mil/Portals/2/docs/civilworks/regulatory/materials/rhsec10.pdf
 The list of these in waters in Oklahoma and maps are located at:

http://www.swt.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory/Section10Waters.aspx.

11. For bridge projects crossing or affecting navigable waters used for interstate commerce, a Section 9 U.S. Coast Guard Permit (USCG) may be required. The Coast Guard approves the location and clearances of bridges through the issuance of bridge permits or permit amendments, under the authority of the General Bridge Act of 1946, Section 9 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, and other statutes. This permit is required for new construction, reconstruction or modification of a bridge or causeway over certain waters of the United States. For more information go to: http://www.uscg.mil/hq/cg5/cg551/BPAG_Page.asp

Please be aware that any time a federal permit is required, the National Environmental Policy Act requirements of that federal agency will come to bear upon the project. This may affect the need for additional studies (Cultural Resources, Endangered Species, etc.) in order to receive the required permit.

RESOLUTION NO.	RI	ESOL	_UTIO	N	Ю.	
----------------	----	------	--------------	---	----	--

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF <INSERT COUNTY NAME> COUNTY, OKLAHOMA:

THAT, WHEREAS it is in the best interest of <INSERT COUNTY NAME> County, to certify that no federal funding will be utilized in the execution of the project described as <INSERT PROJECT DESCRIPTION>, Project Number <INSERT PROJECT NUMBER>, State Job Piece Number <INSERT STATE J/P NUMBER>; and

WHEREAS the Board of County Commissioners of <INSERT COUNTY NAME> County certifies that the regulatory provisions described on Page 2 of this Resolution have been addressed as indicated; and

WHEREAS the Board of County Commissioners of <INSERT COUNTY NAME> County accepts and agrees that all costs associated with contractor delays or additional project costs that are incurred due the failure to secure a required permit(s) or non-compliance with permit requirements or regulatory provisions will be borne solely by the County;

NOW, **THEREFORE**, it is hereby resolved that the Board of County Commissioners of <INSERT COUNTY NAME> County is hereby authorized and directed to execute and

• .	Board of Co	on behalf of <insert <insert="" co<="" commissioners="" county="" nunty="" of="" th=""><th></th></insert>	
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:)	Board of County Commissioners County of <insert b="" county="" na<=""></insert>	ME>:
District Attorney	Date	Chairman	Date
ATTEST:		Member	Date
County Clerk (Seal)		Member	Date

	Comment											
STATE JOB PIECE NO.:	Regulatory Provisio	The Project does not require any permanent or temporary fill or excavation activities in jurisdictional waters or wetlands of the US as determined by a qualified professional.	A 404 permit has been issued by the US Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District (COE), and any required consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Endangered Species Act has been completed. The Commission acknowledges that the project must comply with the General and special conditions associated with the 404 Permit and/or DEQ 401 Water Quality Certification. Permit #	The County certifies that required coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531-1544, 87 Stat. 884), as amended (ESA); and additional review by the Service, if necessary, was completed. http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/oklahoma/OKESFO%20Permit%20Home.htm)	The Project will not impact active Migratory Bird breeding areas and complies with the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act.	The Project was reviewed for industrial or hazardous waste/or Leaking Underground Storage Tank concerns that may impact public health, workers' health and safety, project cost, or schedule.	The project will not affect any recorded archeological or burial sites in compliance with the Oklahoma Antiquities Law (Title 53 Chapter 20 § 361) and Burial Desecration Law (Title 21 Chapter 47 § 1168).	The project was developed in coordination with the Scenic Rivers Commission in accordance with Title 82 §1461 (Only for Flint Creek and the Illinois River above the confluence of the Barren Fork Creek in Cherokee, Adair and Delaware Counties; Barren Fork Creek in Adair and Cherokee Counties from the present alignment of Highway 59 West to the Illinois River; the Upper Mountain Fork River above the 600-foot elevation level of Broken Bow Reservoir in McCurtain and LeFlore Counties, and portions of Lee and Little Lee Creeks in Adair and Sequoyah Counties, as specified in Title 82 §1452.)	One (1) acre or more of total ground disturbance is anticipated by the construction of the project, a DEQ OKR010 Construction Stormwater Permit will be required.	Within 4 miles of a general aviation airport, FAA Permit (under conditions listed in FAA Form 7460-1) may be required.	Section 10 Permit for work in traditionally Navigable Waters (COE)	Section 9 Coast Guard Permit for work in navigable waters used or susceptible to use in their natural condition or by reasonable improvement as a means to transport interstate or foreign commerce
	Not Applicable											
COUNTY:	Date Certified											

55

a

PROJECT SUBMISSION TO RIGHT-OF-WAY DIVISION

Off System RW Submission Deliverables from Local Government Division

Typical R/W submission

1 set full size construction plans (title, typical & P & P) with parcels shown on P&Ps

2 set half size construction plans (title, typical & P & P) with parcels shown on P&Ps (one of these with cross sections)

1 set draft conveyance instruments

"Right-of-Way plans" of the type done for state projects are not required if the parcels can be clearly depicted on the construction plans. For some urban projects it may be beneficial to produce them. If "right-of-way plans" are produced, then please supply the following

1 set full size right-of-way plans

1 set half size right-of-way plans

1 set half size construction plans (title, typical & P & P) with parcels shown on P&Ps (with cross sections)

1 set draft conveyance instruments

If there is no new r/w or utility relocations please supply

No new r/w & utility affidavit 1 set half size plans

If there is no new r/w, but there will be utility relocations please supply

No new R/W affidavit

1 set full size construction plans (title, typical & P & P)

2 set half size construction plans (title, typical & P & P) (one of these with cross sections)

NOTE: On all submissions, specify source of funds for right-of-way and utilities. (ie local, CIRB, federal earmark) If state or federal funds are to be used for right-of-way or utilities, a funding agreement must be in place prior to submitting R/W.

For Revisions Send

1 full size revised sheet

2 half size revised sheets

1 copy any revised conveyance instruments

List of changed/deleted/added parcels

A cover memo is required for all submittals to RW Division including NEPA clearance date and type