US 81 Bypass of Chickasha Environmental Assessment Public Meeting







March 14, 2013

Introductions

- ODOT
- FHWA
- SAIC







Meeting Purpose

- Present need for bypass
- Provide responses to 10/04/11 public meeting comments
- Present socioeconomic study results
- Introduce 3 alignments developed in response to public comments
- Obtain input and feedback



Purpose and Need



- Provide Improved Route for North/South US 81 Travel through Chickasha
  - Reduce Travel Time and Delays for Traffic Traveling through Chickasha
  - Reduce Congestion along US 81 through Chickasha Central Business District
  - Improve Safety for Motorists and Pedestrians along Existing US 81 through Chickasha



Traffic Data & Analysis Highlights

- Video of Existing Truck Traffic & Critical Turning Maneuvers
- Traffic Data & Level of Service
- Crash Data & Safety Analysis







Purpose and Need



Traffic Data & Analysis Highlights

- Video of Existing Truck Traffic & Critical Turning Maneuvers
- Traffic Data & Level of Service
- Crash Data & Safety Analysis





Daily Traffic Along US 81 - Without/With Bypass (2012,2040)



#### Annual Average Daily Traffic

Location	2012 w/o Bypass	2012 w/ Bypass	2040 w/o Bypass	2040 w/ Bypass
1	11,280	8,770	17,600	13,700
2	14,900	11,410	23,300	17,800
3	17,680	14,080	27,580	21,950
4	n/a	5,360	n/a	8,400
5	n/a	4,430	n/a	6,920



Trucks Along US 81 - Without/With Bypass (2012, 2040)



Daily Truck Traffic							
Location	2012 w/o Bypass	2012 w/ Bypass	2040 w/o Bypass	2040 w/ Bypass			
1	1,900	1,200	3,000	1,900			
2	2,000	1,100	3,120	1,720			
3	2,600	1,670	4,060	2,600			
4	n/a	1,230	n/a	1,920			
5	n/a	1,020	n/a	1,600			

#### Excessive truck traffic through Downtown

#### Chickasha

- Affects Traffic Operations & Safety
- Restricts Sight Distance



Future Level of Service (LOS) Along US 81 – Without Bypass (2040)

#### 2040 Without Bypass



Future Level of Service (LOS) Along US 81 – With Bypass (2040)

#### 2040 With Bypass



LOS Legend:					
В					
С					
D					
Е					
F					

## Improved Traffic Flow & Operations





Five-Year Crash Data Along Existing US 81 (Years 2007 – 2011)

Total Crashes = 738 Injury Crashes = 218 Fatalities = 4







Five-Year Crash Data Along Existing US 81 (Years 2007 – 2011)

Five-Year Crash Data (2007 – 2011)									
Crash Type	Number of Crashes	<b>Crash Rate Along US 81</b> (per 100 million vehicle miles)	Statewide Average Crash Rate for Similar Facility (per 100 million vehicle miles)						
	Segment 1 - US 81 from SH 19, north to US 62								
Fatality	2	2.2	1.18						
Injury	175	190.7	53.34						
Total	603	657.2	153.9						
Segment 2 – US 62 from US 81, west to 11th Street									
Fatality	1	11.1	1.03						
Injury	25	277.0	124.21						
Total	91	1008.1	377.75						
	Segmen	t 3 – US 62 from 11th Street, west to US	81 North						
Fatality	1	7.3	1.18						
Injury	11	80.1	53.34						
Total	34	247.6	153.9						
Segment 4 – US 81 north 1.5 miles from US 62									
Fatality	0	0.0	1.57						
Injury	7	63.4	56.01						
Total	10	90.6	178.52						





Five-Year Crash Data Along Existing US 81 (Years 2007 – 2011)

High Crash Intersections with Injury and Property Damage





Travel Time

From To		Travel Time Data			
<i>Northern Terminus:</i>	Southern Terminus:	Free Flow Speed Conditions along Existing US 81	10 minutes		
US 62 intersection	SH 19 East intersection	2012 "No-Build" Peak Conditions	15 – 25 minutes <sup>1</sup>		
		2040 "No-Build" Peak Conditions	<b>30 – 45 minutes</b> <sup>1</sup>		
		2040 "Build" Peak Conditions along the Bypass	8 – 10 minutes		
		2040 "Build" Peak Conditions along Existing US 81	15 – 20 minutes		

1. Travel time subject to increase when over length and/or over width trucks are present



Improvement of Existing US 81



#### Improvement of Existing US 81 to LOS C

- Widen to 6-Lane and Continuous Left-Turn Lane: US 81/US 62 (Choctaw) to Minnesota; 5 Blocks
- Widen to 8-Lane and Continuous Left-Turn Lane: Minnesota to Grand; 16 Blocks
- Significant Right-of-Way Acquisition Required
- Impacts:
  - Loss of Buildings, Businesses, and Parking throughout Corridor
  - Loss of All Structures Adjacent to US 81, Choctaw to Kansas
  - Downtown Historic District and Structures



#### Improvement of Existing US 81



#### Improvement of Existing US 81 – Likely Not Feasible

- Funding likely unavailable due to impacts to historic resources
- Extensive right-of-way impacts



# US 81 Bypass Environmental Assessment Proposed Project



- Controlled-Access 4-Lane Divided Western Bypass of Chickasha
- From Curve North of the US 81/SH 19 West Junction North to US 81/US 62



## US 81 Bypass Environmental Assessment Alignment Presented at October 2011 Meeting



(from 2007 Corridor Study)



POSSIBLE INTERCHANGES

AND THE PARTY OF T

Public Comments from First Meeting

#### **Public Comments from First Meeting:**

- Suggested I-44 as US 81 truck bypass route
- Concerns regarding proximity to:
  - Large church
  - Residential neighborhood
- Concerns over socioeconomic impacts





Public Comments: I-44 as US 81 Truck Bypass Route

#### Public Comments: I-44 as US 81 Truck Bypass Route

Good Idea, but Some Significant Complications:

- Would require payment of H. E. Bailey Turnpike toll
- Turnpike toll gate restrictions
  - 15' 3" maximum height
  - 9' 6" maximum cash width
  - 11' 6" maximum pikepass width
  - 80,000 lbs maximum weight





Public Comments: I-44 as US 81 Truck Bypass Route

#### Public Comments: I-44 as US 81 Truck Bypass Route

- Cannot require all truck traffic to use I-44, as some truck traffic has local destination in Chickasha
- Longer route than going through town
- National Highway System routes need to provide access to truck traffic
- Based upon ODOT's understanding of state statutes, no authority to restrict truck traffic



STATUENT OFFICE

Public Comments: I-44 as US 81 Truck Bypass Route

#### Public Comments: I-44 as US 81 Truck Bypass Route

- Remove state highway designation from downtown route
- Dual designation for I-44/US 81
- Approximate cost of improvements = \$184M
- Limited options for capacity expansions beyond year 2040
- •Will not relieve congestion along US 62 west of US 81 (Choctaw)
- Increase congestion on US 62 east of US 81
- Conclusion: Not the most feasible alignment



AND THE PARTY OF T

Public Comments from First Meeting

#### **Public Comments from First Meeting:**

- Suggested I-44 as US 81 truck bypass route
- Concerns regarding proximity to:
  - Large church
  - Residential neighborhood
- Concerns over socioeconomic impacts





Public Comments: Proximity to Church and Neighborhood





AND THE PARTY OF T

Public Comments from First Meeting

#### **Public Comments from First Meeting:**

- Suggested I-44 as US 81 truck bypass route
- Concerns regarding proximity to:
  - Large church
  - Residential neighborhood
- Concerns over socioeconomic impacts



Public Comment: Concerns over Socioeconomic Impacts

- Concerns over Socioeconomic Impacts
  - Andy Atlas, AICP
  - Vice-President, CP&Y Inc.







Purpose of the Socioeconomic Assessment

**Purpose of the Study** 

 To describe the social and economic conditions of the existing US 81 corridor and evaluate the socioeconomic impacts of a proposed bypass on the existing corridor



Aerial of the Study Area



Industrial Facilities Historic District, Downtown

Existing Business District (along S. 4<sup>th</sup> St / US 81)

I-44 Interchange





Socioeconomic Assessment

### Study Methodology

- Literature Review
- Data Analysis
  - U.S. Census
  - American
     Community Survey
  - Floodplain Map
  - Traffic Data

- Interviews with local residents
- Field Investigations
- Review of previous public involvement documentation





Existing Conditions / Environmental Consequences

### Land Use

- 290 businesses on existing corridor
- 16 percent vacancy rate
- 23 percent of businesses provide travel-related services
  - Automotive
  - Dining
  - Accommodation
  - Convenience stores



#### Demographic Study Area

Census blocks within 500 feet of the existing US 81 corridor



STATUTENT OF THE REPORT

Existing Conditions / Environmental Consequences

#### **Population and Demographic Characteristics**

- Study Area population declined between by 4.9 percent between 2000 and 2010
- Chickasha's population is expected to grow to approximately 19,260 residents by 2030
- The ethnicity in the study area and the City is predominantly White (non-Hispanic)

Year	State of Oklahoma	Grady County	City of Chickasha	Study Area*	
Percent Change 2000-2010	8.7%	15.2%	1.2%	-4.9%	
2010	3,751,351	52,431	16,036	2,737	

#### Population Change 2000-2010





Existing Conditions / Environmental Consequences





Existing Conditions / Environmental Consequences

#### **Transportation Systems and Mobility Patterns**

- Truck traffic on existing US 81 would be reduced from under the Build scenario compared to the No-Build scenario
- Four traffic fatalities in corridor from 2007 2011, including one pedestrian
- Traffic projections show that 2040 traffic on existing US 81 between US 62 and I-44 would exceed 2012 traffic by between 19 and 24 percent
- Overall traffic on US 81 (bypass and existing combined) would be more than 1,000 vehicles per day higher than No Build





Existing Conditions / Environmental Consequences

### **Economic Conditions**

- Annual average unemployment of 5.8 percent in Grady County was lower than the statewide rate of 6.2 percent in 2011
- Primary employment industries in Chickasha are: social services, manufacturing, and retail trade
- Almost half of Grady County labor force (48.5 percent) commutes outside of Grady County
- Chickasha poverty rate of 21.6 percent is higher than County and State
- Sales tax revenues grew by two percent from 2009 to 2012 to \$862,000





### **Competing Goals:**

- General economic revitalization of the community
- Maintaining vitality of individual businesses







Potential **costs** to the community of a bypass

- Potential closure of some travel-related businesses
- Cost will tend to be short-term as travel increases on existing US 81





Potential **opportunities** to the community of a bypass

- Increased traffic and business through Chickasha
- Revitalization of existing US 81 corridor
- Improved Safety
- Trucks will be able to move through town more quickly
- Economic development opportunities along bypass route at interchanges





Bypass Alignments under Consideration







Updated Environmental Assessment Study Area





------ Z



#### MAP KEY

- Alignment 1
- Alignment 2
  Alignment 3
- ----- Existing Alignment
- Potential Wetlands or Waterway
- ------ Rural Water Systems Pipeline (From OWRB Dataset)
- Proposed Interchange Locations
- 5 NWI Wetland
- 100-Year Flood Zone Environmental Study Area Perimeter
- Chickasha City Limits
- × Pipeline Crossing
- OG API Listed Active Wells
- API Listed Plugged and Abandoned Wells (location approximate; based on centroid of legal description)
- Communications Tower
  - ier

- Cemetery
   A Park
   Church
  - Underground Storage Tank (UST)
  - Former Gas Station

School Facility

- 😣 Rest Home
- \* Salvage Yard
  - Industrial Activity and Chemical Storage
    - Automotive Maintenance
  - 🛔 Highway Maintenance Barn
  - Electrical Substation
- OG Oil and Gas Production Observed in Field; no corresponding API listing
  - Water Well or Tower
  - n) \* National Register of Historic Places Sewer Lift Station
  - 35 Gewei Lilt Si



SAIC Energy, Environment 8. Infrastructure, LLC 3700 V. Robinson, Suite 200	NOURE TITLE US 81 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS MAP				
	DOCUMENT THE USE OF A CONTRACT				
	CUBIT OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	PROJECT	NUMBER 03201		
Norman, OK 73072 (405) 321-3885 www.saic.com/Engineering	LOCATION CHICKASHA, OKLAHOMA	FIGURE N	JUMBER		



#### Comparison of Bypass Alignments

Alternative	100-Year Floodplains (linear feet)	NWI Wetlands (linear feet)	Prime Farmlands (acres)	Potential Noise Impacts	Potential for Hazardous Waste Impacts	Local Access	Estimated # of Relocations	Level of Service	Estimated Construction Cost (\$M)
No-Build	0	0	0	NA	None	No Change	0	D*	0
Alignment 1	6,000	250	51	8	None	Best nearest to town (US-62, Idaho Avenue, Grand Avenue, Norge Road, I-44)	2 Commercial 7 Residential	В	154.3
Alignment 2	4,300	80	87	12	Slight Potential from O&G sites	Good further west than #1 (US-62, Idaho Avenue, Grand Avenue, Norge Road, I-44)	1 Commercial 5 Residential	В	168.9
Alignment 3	5,300	80	130	12	Slight Potential from O&G and industrial sites	Fair further west than #1 and #2 (US-62, Idaho Avenue, Grand Avenue, Norge Road, I-44)	2 Commercial 6 Residential	В	205.8

\*: Level of Service along existing US 81 improves to "C" upon construction of bypass.



What Happens Next?

- Consider Comments from this Public Meeting
- Select a Preferred Alignment
- Conduct Field Studies
- Prepare Draft EA Document
- Public Hearing (Mid-2014); Comment Period
- Finalize EA
- Request FONSI from FHWA (Late 2014)





What Happens Next?



- Begin ROW Acquisition and Utility Relocation Process (2014)
- Begin Bypass Construction, as Funding Allows



Information Sources

- Web Address: http://www.odot.org/meetings/other.php
- Greg Worrell ODOT Phone: 405.522.8014 200 NE 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105 E-mail: gworrell@ODOT.org
- Diane Abernathy SAIC Phone: 405.701.3167 3700 W. Robinson, Suite 200 Norman, OK 73072 E-mail: jeanna.d.abernathy@saic.com









Thank you!





# Question and Answer Session

