

Date Issued: September 1, 2021

Mr. Michael Groom QA / IA Branch Manager Oklahoma Department of Transportation Materials Division 5201 NE 122nd Street BLDG 4011 Edmond, Oklahoma 73013

Subject: AASHTO re:source remote assessment of Materials Testing Laboratory

Dear Mr. Groom:

The following is a confirmatory report on Assessment No. R30826, which was completed remotely in your testing laboratory in Edmond, Oklahoma on August 11, 2021, by Solomon McCullough & Sean Carmean, representing AASHTO re:source. An examination of the Asphalt Binder, Emulsified Asphalt, Asphalt Mixture, Soil, Rock, Aggregate and Iron and Steel testing facilities was conducted during this assessment. In addition, the quality system of the laboratory was evaluated based on the criteria specified in AASHTO Standard Practice R18.

This report is also available to you in PDF format on the AASHTO re:source website, www.aashtoresource.org. To access your report online, log into the website and go to "My Lab." Your report will be viewable under Laboratory Assessment History.

This letter and the accompanying report provide written evidence that your laboratory has been assessed. This report may not be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Thank you for your participation in the AASHTO re:source Laboratory Assessment Program. Please let us know about your experiences with the program by submitting feedback through the AASHTO re:source website.

Sincerely,

Maria Knake

Program Manager, Laboratory Assessment Program

AASHTO re:source

Maria Knake

cc: Division Administrator, FHWA



REPORT ON MATERIALS TESTING LABORATORY ASSESSMENT:

Oklahoma Department of Transportation Materials Division 5201 NE 122nd Street BLDG 4011

Edmond, Oklahoma 73013

Assessor: Solomon McCullough & Sean Carmean

Assessment Number: R30826

Date of Assessment: August 11, 2021

GENERAL INFORMATION

The assessment covered by this report included a review of the Asphalt Binder, Emulsified Asphalt, Asphalt Mixture, Soil, Rock, Aggregate and Iron and Steel testing facilities. In addition, an examination of the laboratory's Quality System based on the criteria specified in AASHTO Standard Practice R18 was performed.

The purpose of the assessment was to collect objective evidence of conformance to applicable quality system and test standards. This report contains a "Summary of Findings" table for each of the areas examined during the assessment. A "Findings" section follows each "Summary of Findings" table, which describes deviations from the requirements outlined in the standards that were evaluated as part of this assessment, as well as any other relevant information. This assessment was performed independently without comparison to other laboratories that are part of the AASHTO re:source programs. The information obtained during the assessment will not be shared with agencies outside of AASHTO without explicit consent from you.

AASHTO re:source applied the most recent versions of AASHTO, ASTM or other standards available at the time of the assessment. At the conclusion of the assessment, the assessor presented a preliminary report summarizing the findings to the laboratory staff. The findings presented in this final report may vary slightly from those included in the preliminary report. While our goal is to provide a thorough and detailed review of your laboratory's conformance to stated standards, it is possible that nonconformities may exist that were not uncovered during this assessment.

ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

Findings in this report are classified as **nonconformities**, *observations*, or *informational* as defined below:

- Nonconformities: A finding that indicates policy or practice contrary to the requirements of applicable standards or documented quality system procedures.
- *Observations:* (1) A technically-related finding that is not likely to affect the ability of the laboratory to produce valid and accurate test results; (2) A minor failure in some part of the organization's quality management system, such as a single observed lapse in following one procedure or the lack of information required on a record. *NOTE: Observations are required to be addressed internally by the laboratory. Repeat observations can result in nonconformities.*
- *Informational:* (1) Specific technical information provided for informational purposes only. (2) Information about pending or anticipated changes to test standards, AASHTO R 18, and the AASHTO Accreditation Program Procedures Manual.

RESOLUTION OF FINDINGS

Resolving Nonconformities

Laboratories seeking AASHTO accreditation or wishing to maintain their accreditation status must resolve all findings labeled as "Nonconformities" within 60 calendar days of the issuance of this final report. The responses must include a description of the corrective action taken and substantiating evidence, such as records; copies of newly prepared or revised documents; equipment packing slips; calibration, standardization, and check records; and photographs. A root cause analysis may be required to resolve nonconformities. Repeat findings will require more extensive responses. New standards will not be granted unless all nonconformities that are related to a specific standard and the general accreditation requirements have been resolved.

Corrective Action of Nonconformities and Root Cause Analysis

Resolving nonconformities requires corrective action as follows: (1) Take immediate interim action to isolate the effects of the problem, (2) Take immediate action to correct the problem, (3) Investigate the *root cause* of the problem, if needed, and (4) Implement permanent corrective action to prevent recurrence of the problem.

Note: Root cause analysis can be the most difficult and most important part of the corrective action process. Root cause analysis attempts to determine why the nonconformity occurred in the first place. Its focus is "Why did this happen?" Potential causes could include: insufficient staff training and skills; vague policies and procedures; inadequate frequencies for calibrating or checking equipment; and human error.

If your laboratory does not complete this action before the 60-day deadline, the laboratory accreditation will be either denied or suspended based on the unresolved nonconformities; however, the laboratory may receive an additional 30 days to submit evidence of resolution of a nonconformity 1) if the laboratory provides the AAP with a written plan for resolving the remaining nonconformity including an estimated completion date and any evidence of action taken such as equipment purchase orders, or 2) if only minor changes are required. If your laboratory receives an additional 30 days to complete the resolution to any remaining nonconformity, and your laboratory does not resolve the nonconformity by the end of this 30-day period, the laboratory accreditation will be either denied or suspended based on the unresolved nonconformities. If your laboratory does not resolve a nonconformity within 120 calendar days of the issuance of the final report, and desires to maintain its accreditation, an additional assessment may be required.

Resolving Observations

Laboratories are not required to provide written documentation to AASHTO re:source describing action taken to address findings identified as "Observations." However, the laboratory should take necessary corrective action to address the observation to prevent possible recurrence. Repeat observations may result in nonconformities. If an observation was written regarding information missing from a calibration, standardization, or check record performed by an outside agency, it is acceptable to wait until the next due date for that activity in order to address the finding.

Resolving Informational Findings

Informational findings are not deviations from stated procedures. Therefore, laboratories are not required to provide written documentation to AASHTO re:source describing action taken to address findings identified as "Informational."

For a complete explanation of the AASHTO Accreditation Program policies and procedures, please see the <u>Procedures Manual located at http://www.aashtoresource.org.</u>

SUBMITTING RESPONSES TO FINDINGS

To respond to nonconformities contained in this report, log in to www.aashtoresource.org using your laboratory's credentials and select "Accreditation Events" on the top of the left-hand side of the page. Select the Accreditation Event that corresponds to the report number as issued in this report. Please follow instructions included on this web page to submit responses to the nonconformities.

CONTACT INFORMATION

For general questions about the assessment program, please use the following contact information:

Contact Information			
AASHTO re:source 4441 Buckeystown Pike Suite A Frederick, MD 21704- 7507	Fax: 240-436-4899 Phone: 240-436-4900	Email: mailto:info@aashtoresource.org	

Laboratories Seeking AASHTO Accreditation

If your laboratory is not accredited by AASHTO, but desires AASHTO accreditation, your laboratory may obtain accreditation based on an application submitted subsequent to an assessment provided: (1) the assessment includes an AASHTO R 18 quality management system review of the applicable field(s), (2) the application is submitted within 90 calendar days of the date of issuance of this final report, and (3) nonconformities are resolved as described previously.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS GENERAL APPARATUS

The table below indicates the Standards observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified requirements. A "-----" in the Status columns indicates that this item was not included.

ITEM EVALUATED	STATUS
Mechanical Sieving Apparatus	
Ovens	
Literature	Satisfactory
Sample Reducing Apparatus	
Sieves	
Thermometers	Satisfactory
eneral Purpose Balances	

FINDINGS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (ASPHALT BINDER)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Pressurized Aging Vessel (PAV)	R28 / D6521	Satisfactory	
Solubility of Asphalt Materials in Trichloroethylene	T44 / D2042	Satisfactory	
Flash Point by Cleveland Open Cup	T48 / D92	Satisfactory	
Penetration of Bituminous Materials	T49 / D5	Satisfactory	
Float Test for Bituminous Materials	T50 / D139	Satisfactory	
Ductility of Bituminous Materials	T51 / D113	Satisfactory	
Softening Point of Bitumen (Ring-and-Ball)	T53 / D36	Satisfactory	
Distillation of Cut-Back Asphaltic Products	T78 / D402	Satisfactory	
Flash Point With Tag Open-Cup Apparatus	T79 / D3143	Satisfactory	
Kinematic Viscosity of Asphalts	T201 / D2170	See Finding (a)	
Viscosity by Vacuum Capillary	T202 / D2171	Satisfactory	
Specific Gravity of Asphalt Cement	T228 / D70	Satisfactory	
Rolling Thin-Film Oven Test	T240 / D2872	Satisfactory	
Specific Gravity of Liquid Asphalts by Hydrometer	T295 / D3142	Satisfactory	
Elastic Recovery Test	T301 / D6084		Satisfactory
Bending Beam Rheometer (BBR)	T313 / D6648	Satisfactory	
Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR)	T315 / D7175	Satisfactory	
Viscosity of Asphalt Binder Using Rotational Viscometer	T316 / D4402	Satisfactory	
Multiple Stress Creep and Recovery (MSCR)	T350 / D7405	Satisfactory	

FINDINGS

(a) Kinematic Viscosity of Asphalts

AASHTO T201-2015

Informational

The laboratory only tests cutback asphalts using the kinematic viscosity test.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (EMULSIFIED ASPHALT)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Settlement and Storage Stability	T59 / D6930	Satisfactory	
Sieve Test	T59 / D6933	Satisfactory	
Residue by Evaporation	T59 / D6934	Satisfactory	
Particle Charge	T59 / D7402	Satisfactory	
Saybolt Viscosity at 25°C (77°F)	T59 / D7496	Satisfactory	
Saybolt Viscosity at 50°C (122°F)	T59 / D7496	Satisfactory	

FINDINGS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (ASPHALT MIXTURE)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Reducing Samples of Hot-Mix Asphalt	R47 /	Satisfactory	
Rapid Vacuum Drying	R79 / D7227	Satisfactory	
Mechanical Analysis of HMA	T30 / D5444	Satisfactory	
Quantitative Extraction of Asphalt Binder from HMA	T164 / D2172	Satisfactory	
Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted Hot Mix Asphalt	T166 / D2726	Satisfactory	
Maximum Specific Gravity of Hot Mix Asphalt Paving Mixtures	T209 / D2041	Satisfactory	
Percent Air Voids in Bituminous Paving Mixtures	T269 / D3203	Satisfactory	
Moisture-Induced Damage of HMA (Tensile Strength Ratio)	T283 / D4867	See Finding (a)	
Asphalt Content by Ignition Method	T308 / D6307	Satisfactory	
Hot Mix Asphalt Superpave Gyratory Compactor	T312 / D6925	Satisfactory	
Hamburg Wheel-Track Test	T324 /	Satisfactory	
Moisture Content of HMA by Oven	T329 /	Satisfactory	
Bulk Specific Gravity Using Vacuum Sealing Method	T331 / D6752	Satisfactory	
Thickness or Height of Compacted Specimens	/ D3549		Satisfactory

FINDINGS

(a) Moisture-Induced Damage of HMA (Tensile Strength Ratio)

AASHTO T283-2014

Observation

The thickness of the conditioned subset (t') was not determined after removing the specimens from the 77 ± 1 °F (25.0 ±0.5 °C) water bath, prior to indirect tensile strength testing.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (SOIL)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Dry Preparation of Samples	R58 / D421	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Particle Size Analysis of Soils by Hydrometer	T88 / D422	Satisfactory	See Finding (a)
Liquid Limit of Soils (Atterberg Limits)	T89 / D4318	Satisfactory	See Finding (b)
Plastic Limit of Soils (Atterberg Limits)	T90 / D4318	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Moisture-Density (Proctor) of Soils, Standard Effort	T99 / D698	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Specific Gravity of Soils	T100 / D854	Satisfactory	
Moisture-Density (Proctor) of Soils, Modified Effort	T180 / D1557	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
California Bearing Ratio	T193 / D1883	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Unconfined Compressive Strength of Soil	T208 / D2166	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
One-Dimensional Consolidation of Soils	T216 / D2435	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Direct Shear of Soils	T236 / D3080	Satisfactory	
Moisture Content of Soils	T265 / D2216	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Classification of Soils (Unified System)	/ D2487		Satisfactory
Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual)	/ D2488		Satisfactory
Shrinkage Factors of Soils by Wax Method	/ D4943		Satisfactory

FINDINGS

(a) Particle Size Analysis of Soils by Hydrometer

ASTM D422-1963(2007)

Nonconformity

The material clinging to the stopper and the sides of the sedimentation cylinder was rinsed into the solution using distilled water after the 1-minute period of hand agitation. This step is not specified in the test method.

(b) Liquid Limit of Soils (Atterberg Limits)

ASTM D4318-2017

Nonconformity

During the one-point liquid limit demonstration, a water content specimen was not taken after an initial groove closure in the 20 to 30 blow range was observed. A water content specimen was taken only after the second closure in the specified range was observed. Consequently, the liquid limit was not determined by averaging two trial liquid limit values.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (ROCK)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Slake Durability of Shales and Weak Rocks	/ D4644		Satisfactory
Point Load Strength Index of Rock	/ D5731		Satisfactory

FINDINGS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (AGGREGATE)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Test Size	R76 / C702	Satisfactory	
Sampling Aggregate	R90 / D75	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Material Finer Than 75-μm (No. 200) Sieve	T11 / C117	Satisfactory	
Bulk Density and Voids in Aggregate	T19 / C29	Satisfactory	
Organic Impurities in Sands	T21 / C40	Satisfactory	
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates	T27 / C136	Satisfactory	
Fine Aggregate Specific Gravity and Absorption	T84 / C128	Satisfactory	
Coarse Aggregate Specific Gravity and Absorption	T85 / C127	See Finding (a)	
Abrasion of Coarse Aggregate	T96 / C131	Satisfactory	
Clay Lumps and Friable Particle Percentage	T112 / C142	See Finding (b)	
Sand Equivalent Test	T176 / D2419	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Aggregate Durability Index	T210 / D3744	See Finding (c)	
Moisture Content of Aggregate by Oven Drying	T255 / C566	Satisfactory	
Uncompacted Void Content of Fine Aggregate	T304 / C1252	Satisfactory	
Resistance to Abrasion by Micro-Deval (Coarse Agg)	T327 / D6928	Satisfactory	
Fractured Particles in Coarse Aggregate	T335 / D5821		Satisfactory
Flat, Elongated, or Flat and Elongated Particles	/ D4791		Satisfactory

FINDINGS

(a) Coarse Aggregate Specific Gravity and Absorption

AASHTO T85-2014

Nonconformity

The mass of the specimen was not first determined in a saturated surface-dry condition and then while immersed in water. The order of these steps in the procedure was reversed.

(b) Clay Lumps and Friable Particle Percentage

AASHTO T112-2000(2004)

Informational

The laboratory only tests for coarse aggregate particles.

(c) Aggregate Durability Index

AASHTO T210-2015

Nonconformity

The volume of water and/or the test specimen mass was not adjusted to account for the specimen not being completely inundated with 1000±5 mL of water.

Observation

After the 10-minute agitation of the coarse aggregate specimen during Procedure A, the washing vessel was not shaken by moving the vessel vigorously in a horizontal circular motion 5 times to bring fines into suspension. The washing vessel was not shaken.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (IRON AND STEEL)

The table below indicates the Standard test methods observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified equipment and procedural requirements. A " - - - - - - " in the Status columns indicates that the laboratory elected not to include this item as part of the assessment.

Test Method	Designation	AASHTO/Other	ASTM
Zinc Coatings on Iron and Steel: Thickness of Zinc (Stripping)	M111-T65 / A123-A90	Satisfactory	
Zinc Coatings on Iron and Steel: Thickness of Zinc (Magnetic)	M111 / A123-E376	Satisfactory	
Welded Plain Steel Wire: Weld Shear	M336 / A1064	Satisfactory	
Welded Plain Steel Wire: Tension (Ultimate Tensile Strength)	M336-T244 / A1064- A370	Satisfactory	
Welded Deformed Steel Wire: Weld Shear	M336 / A1064	Satisfactory	
Welded Deformed Steel Wire: Tension (Ultimate Tensile Strength)	M336-T244 / A1064- A370	Satisfactory	
Deformed Steel Wire: Tension (Ultimate Tensile Strength)	M336-T244 / A1064- A370	Satisfactory	
Plain Steel Wire: Tension (Ultimate Tensile Strength)	M336-T244 / A1064- A370	Satisfactory	
Carbon-Steel Bars, Deformed and Plain: Tension (Yield Strength)	M31-T244 / A615-A370	Satisfactory	
Carbon-Steel Bars, Deformed and Plain: Tension (Ultimate Tensile)	M31-T244 / A615-A370	Satisfactory	
Carbon-Steel Bars, Deformed and Plain: Tension (Elongation)	M31-T244 / A615-A370	Satisfactory	

FINDINGS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS QUALITY SYSTEM CRITERIA

The table below indicates the Standards observed and discussed during the assessment, and the conformance of the laboratory to specified requirements. A "----" in the Status columns indicates that this item was not included.

Standard Practice R18 Management Requirements

ITEM EVALUATED	STATUS
Quality Management System	Satisfactory
Document Control	Satisfactory
Organization	Satisfactory
Staff	Satisfactory
Technician Training and Evaluation	Satisfactory
Internal Audits	Satisfactory
Management Reviews	Satisfactory
Corrective Action	Satisfactory
Records Retention	Satisfactory

Standard Practice R18 Technical Requirements

ITEM EVALUATED	STATUS
Equipment	Satisfactory
Equipment Calibration, Standardization, Check, and Maintenance Records	See Finding (a)
Sample Management	Satisfactory
Test Records and Reports	Satisfactory
Subcontracting	Satisfactory
Assuring the Quality of Results	Satisfactory

Additional Quality System Evaluations

ITEM EVALUATED	STATUS
ASTM C1077 - Standard Practice for Laboratories Testing Concrete and Concrete Aggregates	
ASTM D3666 - Standard Specification for Agencies Testing and Inspecting Road and Paving Materials	
ASTM D3740 - Standard Practice for Agencies Testing Soil and Rock	
ASTM E329 - Standard Specification for Agencies Testing Materials Used in Construction	

FINDINGS

(a) Equipment Calibration, Standardization, Check, and Maintenance Records

Nonconformities

Procedures and calibration, standardization, and check records were not presented for consolidometer deadweights (T216/D2435) and direct shear normal load deadweights (T236) (Section 6.1.2).

The calibration, standardization, or check records presented for mechanical shaker (Serial No. FL2305) did not contain sufficiently detailed results to establish the required agitation time for thorough sieving (Section 6.5.1.1). The records did not include the finer sieve portion of the test (only goes down to the No. 8 sieve).

Written procedures were not presented for consolidometer and direct shear shear deflection (Section 6.1.2).

CLOSURE

The findings upon which this report is based were discussed with the laboratory personnel during the course of the assessment. At the conclusion of the assessment, a preliminary report summarizing these comments was presented to the laboratory staff, and all departures from applicable standard test methods and specifications were discussed in detail. While our goal is to provide a thorough and detailed review of your laboratory's conformance to stated standards, it is possible that nonconformities may exists that were not uncovered during this assessment.

It is recommended that this report be compared with the report of the preceding assessment that was made in this laboratory in November 2018.

AASHTO re:source

Solomon M. McCullough

Solomon M. M. Cullaugh

Sens Carmeun

Assessor

Sean Carmean Assessor

14